

Minicourse on arithmetic of K3 surfaces:
Obstructions to rational points on K3 surfaces
ICERM workshop: Arithmetic, Geometry and Computations on
K3 surfaces

Brendan Hassett, ICERM/Brown
supported by the US National Science Foundation

September 15, 2026

Local/global principles, Brauer groups, and obstructions

Set up

K number field with Galois group $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ and ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}_K$

S/K K3 surface with $\overline{S} = S_{\overline{K}}$

$\text{Pic}(\overline{S}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^\rho$ geometric Picard group and

$$\text{Br}(\overline{S}) \simeq \text{Hom}(T(\overline{S}), \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{22-\rho}$$

geometric Brauer group, with Γ actions

$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_K)$ flat proper model of S over the ring of integers

v a place of K with completion K_v , with $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic ring $\mathfrak{o}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$, residue characteristic p , and reduction $\mathcal{S} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $v = \mathfrak{p}$
non-archimedean

Local obstructions

$$S(K) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow S(K_v) \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } v$$

If $\mathcal{S} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ is smooth (good reduction) then it almost always has $\mathfrak{o}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}$ -points unless this field has small cardinality. Similar analysis yields smooth points even when the reduction has rational double points. Hensel's Lemma guarantees these lift to K_v .

At the other extreme, if the fiber is an Enriques surface with multiplicity two then $S(K_v) = \emptyset$ (residue characteristic not two).

To summarize, calculations are needed for a finite number of $\mathfrak{p}$: the places of bad reduction and those of small cardinality.

Deconstructing the Brauer group of S : Step 0

The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$H^p(\Gamma, H^q(\overline{S}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(S, \mathbb{G}_m), \quad H^2(S, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{Br}(S)$$

has several constituent parts.

The arrow $\mathrm{Br}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(S)$ has kernel equal to the cokernel of

$$\mathrm{Pic}(S) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{S})).$$

Elements may be represented by embeddings $S \hookrightarrow V$ into a non-split Brauer-Severi variety over K . These always obstruct rational points!

Algebraic versus transcendental

The arrow

$$\ker(H^1(\Gamma, \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{S})) \rightarrow H^3(\Gamma, K^*)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Br}(S)/\operatorname{Br}(K)$$

yields information similar to what one gets for rational surfaces.
This is the algebraic part of the Brauer obstruction.

The arrow

$$\operatorname{Br}(S) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, \operatorname{Br}(\overline{S}))$$

captures the transcendental Brauer group, which survives on passage to $\overline{K}$. It is harder to write these classes down, as they contain geometric information beyond Galois cohomology.

Good news: $\operatorname{Br}(S)/\operatorname{Br}(K)$ is finite! (Skorobogatov-Zarhin)

Deconstructing the Brauer group of S : Step 1

The crux is the evaluation pairing

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Br}(S) \times S(K_v) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K_v) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ (\alpha, s_v) &\mapsto s_v^* \alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Class field theory gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \mathrm{Br}(K_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{Br}(\mathfrak{o}_{K,p}) = 0$, the $s_v^* \alpha$ are trivial at places of good reduction where α is unramified; we need only evaluate at infinite places, where S has bad reduction, and where α ramifies. Hence

$$\sum_v s_v^* \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is well-defined; the exact sequence shows it vanishes on $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(K)$ and when the s_v all come from $s \in S(K)$.

How hard is this evaluation?

we need only evaluate at infinite places, where S has bad reduction, and where α ramifies

- ▶ Infinite places are easy: $\text{Br}(\mathbb{C}) = 0$ and $\text{Br}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ so evaluation depends on the connected components of $S(K_v)$.
- ▶ Places of good reduction where α ramifies are not a big deal, provided p does not divide the order of α . Local invariants are constant on $S(K_v)$ (Colliot-Thélène and Skorobogatov).
- ▶ Places of “mild” reduction, with rational singularities and simply-connected smooth locus, can be handled similarly (Várilly-Alvarado).
- ▶ The remaining places can be vexing – we need a convenient model of (S, α) !

When can this be carried out?

For algebraic α , once we have a reasonable model $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_K)$ of S , we can usually carry out these computations using Galois cohomology.

For transcendental α , usually we need a geometric construction of α to control the ramification. Examples have been worked out by Ieronymou and with Várilly-Alvarado for two-torsion elements of degree-two K3 surfaces

$$S = \{w^2 = F(x, y, z)\} \quad F \in K[x, y, z]_6.$$

One geometric source of Brauer classes

Consider K3 surfaces S with

$$\mathrm{Pic}(S) = \begin{array}{c|cc} & f & M \\ \hline f & 0 & m \\ M & m & 2s \end{array}, \quad -1 \leq s < m-1, m \geq 2,$$

which have elliptic fibrations $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with multisection of degree m . The Jacobian $J(S)$ has

$$\mathrm{Pic}(J(S)) = \begin{array}{c|cc} & f & \sigma \\ \hline f & 0 & 1 \\ \sigma & 1 & -2 \end{array},$$

and comes with an $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(J(S))[m]$ (Ogg).

Challenge

Use these to obstruct weak approximation, especially where the moduli space is unirational.

Complex geometry digression

Challenge

Let $K = \mathbb{C}(C)$ where C is a curve, S a K3 surface over K , and $\alpha \in \text{Br}(S)$. Define and construct “good” models

$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C$$

where $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow C$ is flat and proper with generic fiber S and $\mathcal{A}$ restricts to α . How about after base change $C' \rightarrow C$ making the local monodromy unipotent?

Geometric complications

Review of rational varieties

Let S be a geometrically rational surface over $K = \mathbb{C}(C)$ where C is a curve. We have that $S(K) \neq \emptyset$.

More generally, we have

Theorem (Graber-Harris-Starr 2003)

If S is rationally connected over K then $S(K) \neq \emptyset$.

For proper S , the conclusion is equivalent to every proper flat model $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow C$ having a section.

In positive characteristic, we have

Theorem (de Jong-Starr 2003)

Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a flat proper morphism to a curve over an algebraically closed field. Assume that the geometric generic fiber is normal and separably rationally connected. Then f has a section.

“Separably rationally connected” means there exists a very free curve in the smooth locus:

$$f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \overline{S}^{\text{sm}}, \quad f^* T_{\overline{S}} \text{ ample.}$$

This is equivalent to “rationally connected” for smooth projective varieties of characteristic zero.

Examples of geometrically SRC varieties include:

- ▶ geometrically rational smooth varieties;
- ▶ generic Fano hypersurfaces $S \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ (Yi Zhu 2024);
- ▶ Fano smooth complete intersections of two quadrics;
- ▶ “most” reductions mod p of rationally connected varieties over number fields.

Let k be the algebraic closure of a finite field and K the function field of a curve over k . Classical results of Lang and Nagata give that Fano complete intersections S over K **always** have rational points, regardless of their singularities.

Conclusion

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_q(C)$ be the function field of a curve over a finite field. Assuming S Fano or separably rationally connected over K , it is reasonable to expect rational points after extending scalars to $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ for some n .

Thus it makes sense to expect tools from Galois cohomology to control rational points.

Presumably, number fields behave analogously.

Why are other classes of varieties harder?

Theorem (Graber-Harris-Mazur-Starr 2005)

Fix a complex variety B and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a bounded family $\mathcal{H}_d$ of morphisms $h : C \rightarrow B$ from smooth irreducible curves with the following property: For every proper morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of relative dimension $\leq d$, if $h : C \rightarrow B$ is very general in $\mathcal{H}_d$ then the pullback

$$\mathcal{X} \times_B C \rightarrow C$$

has a section iff the generic fiber of π contains a (geometrically) rationally connected subvariety.

Thus the GHS theorem characterizes varieties uniformly admitting rational points over function fields of complex curves: They must contain rationally connected subvarieties.

And where do K3 surfaces fit in?

There are plenty of K3 surfaces over $K = \mathbb{C}(t)$ without rational points e.g.

$$S = \{w^4 + tx^4 + t^2y^4 + t^3z^4 = 0\}.$$

This goes back to Lang's 1951 theory of “normic forms”.

Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[w, x, y, z]_4) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{34}$ be the complement of the discriminant and $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ the universal family of smooth quartic surfaces. The example above is a twisted cubic curve in this space. Smaller degree $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{34}$ always yield quartic surfaces $\mathcal{X} \times_B C \rightarrow C$ with sections!

Thus $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ has no rational sections, nor rational curves defined over B . Of course, the geometric fibers have lots of rational curves but these are permuted without fixed points.

Interlude: Density examples

Consider a very general pencil

$$\mathcal{S} = \{sF + tG = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^1, \quad F, G \in \mathbb{C}[w, x, y, z]_4.$$

The base locus $\{F = G = 0\}$ gives constant sections. This is the first of an infinite series of one-parameter families of sections that are dense in $\mathcal{S}$ (with Tschinkel).

Now look at a very general

$$\mathcal{S} = \{s^2F + stG + t^2H\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^1, \quad F, G, H \in \mathbb{C}[w, x, y, z]_4$$

a Calabi Yau fibered in K3 surfaces. It has 64 constant sections $\{F = G = H = 0\}$; rigid sections are dense in $\mathcal{S}$ (Zhiyuan Li).

Can these ever be defined over a number field? Countable field?

The punchline for the obstruction package

For most curves $C \rightarrow B \subset \mathbb{P}^{34}$ of sufficiently large degree, the base changes

$$\pi_C : \mathcal{X} \times_B C \rightarrow C$$

admit no sections. However, the fibers are either smooth or have a single ordinary A_1 singularity; there are no local obstructions to sections over points $p \in C$. And the Brauer group of $\mathbb{C}(C)$ vanishes, so there is no Brauer-Manin obstruction!

Many K3 surface fibrations over complex curves lack sections, yet still admit local sections and no Brauer-Manin obstruction.

Complex geometry questions

Challenge

Suppose that $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ in the GHMS theorem is defined over a number field. Show there exists a “certificate curve” C defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Question

Consider a family of K3 surfaces over a curve $\varpi : S \rightarrow C$, defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Is there a reasonable algorithm for deciding whether ϖ has a section?

*Is there **any** invariant certifying the non-existence of sections when local obstructions vanish?*

Finite field questions

Fix a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with algebraic closure k , along with a curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Presumably, questions over $K = \mathbb{F}_q(C)$ and $k(C)$ are no easier!

Challenge

Produce curves $C \rightarrow U \subset \mathbb{P}^{34}$ such that the resulting quartic surface over K has local points and trivial Brauer group.

Question

Can we show that any of these lack $k(C)$ (or $\mathbb{F}_q(C)$) rational points? Is “very general” is needed in the GHMS converse theorem?