The Brownian and Poisson transport maps

Yair Shenfeld

Brown University

Lipschitz transport maps and functional inequalities

Suppose there exists 1-Lipschitz transport map ${\mathcal T}$ between ${\mathbb P}$ and a measure $\mu.$

Suppose there exists 1-Lipschitz transport map T between $\mathbb P$ and a measure μ .

Then μ satisfies log-Sobolev with constant $C_{\mathbb{P}}$:

Suppose there exists 1-Lipschitz transport map ${\mathcal T}$ between ${\mathbb P}$ and a measure $\mu.$

Then μ satisfies log-Sobolev with constant $C_{\mathbb{P}}$:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Ent}_\mu(g^2) &= \mathsf{Ent}_\mathbb{P}(g^2 \circ T) \leq C_\mathbb{P} \int |
abla(g \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \ &\leq C_\mathbb{P} \int |(
abla g) \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} = C_\mathbb{P} \int |
abla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu. \end{split}$$

1-Lipschitz transport map

• Caffarelli showed that the Brenier optimal transport map between a Gaussian and a measure which is more log-concave than the Gaussian is 1-Lipschitz.

- Caffarelli showed that the Brenier optimal transport map between a Gaussian and a measure which is more log-concave than the Gaussian is 1-Lipschitz.
- Kim-E. Milman established the same result (and more) for a transport map based on the Langevin dynamics of the Gaussian.

- Caffarelli showed that the Brenier optimal transport map between a Gaussian and a measure which is more log-concave than the Gaussian is 1-Lipschitz.
- Kim-E. Milman established the same result (and more) for a transport map based on the Langevin dynamics of the Gaussian.
- Many more 1-Lipschitz results for the Kim-E. Milman map, including on manifolds, but very few for the Brenier map.

Some challenges

• Due to a result of E. Milman (see below), it is beneficial to show that a transport map is 1-Lipschitz **on average**,

• Due to a result of E. Milman (see below), it is beneficial to show that a transport map is 1-Lipschitz **on average**, which the above techniques cannot show so far.

- Due to a result of E. Milman (see below), it is beneficial to show that a transport map is 1-Lipschitz **on average**, which the above techniques cannot show so far.
- Trying to use the transport approach in discrete settings faces two problems:

- Due to a result of E. Milman (see below), it is beneficial to show that a transport map is 1-Lipschitz **on average**, which the above techniques cannot show so far.
- Trying to use the transport approach in discrete settings faces two problems: (a) Splitting of mass

- Due to a result of E. Milman (see below), it is beneficial to show that a transport map is 1-Lipschitz **on average**, which the above techniques cannot show so far.
- Trying to use the transport approach in discrete settings faces two problems: (a) Splitting of mass (b) Lack of chain rule.

Solution

"In fact, the effective dimension of $(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma^{(n)})$ is infinite, in a certain sense, whatever n. I admit that this perspective may look strange, and might be the result of lack of imagination; but in any case, it will fit very well into the picture (in terms of sharp constants for geometric inequalities, etc.)."

Optimal transport, old and new, p. 379 (Cédric Villani)

"In fact, the effective dimension of $(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma^{(n)})$ is infinite, in a certain sense, whatever n. I admit that this perspective may look strange, and might be the result of lack of imagination; but in any case, it will fit very well into the picture (in terms of sharp constants for geometric inequalities, etc.)."

Optimal transport, old and new, p. 379 (Cédric Villani)

The theme of the talk today is that this perspective is not so strange: by taking the source measure to be **infinite-dimensional** we can overcome the challenges of the transport method.

The Brownian transport map

"The Brownian transport map" (Dan Mikulincer, Yair Shenfeld). Probab. Th. Rel. Fields (2024)

The Föllmer process

 $\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \, \mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0,$

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t}f(Y_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0,$$

where (B_t) is a standard Brownian motion,

$$\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \,\mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0,$$

where (B_t) is a standard Brownian motion, and (H_t) is the heat semigroup,

$$\mathrm{H}_t g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x + \sqrt{t}z) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma(z).$$

$$\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \, \mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0,$$

where (B_t) is a standard Brownian motion, and (H_t) is the heat semigroup,

$$\mathrm{H}_t g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x + \sqrt{t}z) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma(z).$$

Then, $Y_1 \sim \mu$.

The Brownian transport map

$$\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \,\mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0.$$

$$\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \,\mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0.$$

Let ${\mathbb P}$ be the Wiener measure on the space

$$\Omega := \{ \omega : \omega : [0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \text{ is a continuous function} \}.$$

$$\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \,\mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0.$$

Let \mathbb{P} be the Wiener measure on the space

 $\Omega := \{ \omega : \omega : [0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \text{ is a continuous function} \}.$

The map

$$Y_1: \omega \mapsto Y_1(\omega)$$

transport \mathbb{P} to μ .

$$\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \,\mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0.$$

Let \mathbb{P} be the Wiener measure on the space

 $\Omega := \{ \omega : \omega : [0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \text{ is a continuous function} \}.$

The map

$$Y_1: \omega \mapsto Y_1(\omega)$$

transport \mathbb{P} to μ . We call Y_1 the **Brownian transport map**.

Lipschitz transport maps and functional inequalities

We say that Y_1 , which transports \mathbb{P} to μ , is 1-Lipschitz if $|DY_1| \leq 1$, where DY_1 is the **Malliavin derivative**, and $|\cdot|$ is the appropriate norm.

We say that Y_1 , which transports \mathbb{P} to μ , is 1-Lipschitz if $|DY_1| \leq 1$, where DY_1 is the **Malliavin derivative**, and $|\cdot|$ is the appropriate norm.

If Y_1 is 1-Lipschitz we can transfer functional inequalities using the transport method from \mathbb{P} to μ .

We say that Y_1 , which transports \mathbb{P} to μ , is 1-Lipschitz if $|DY_1| \leq 1$, where DY_1 is the **Malliavin derivative**, and $|\cdot|$ is the appropriate norm.

If Y_1 is 1-Lipschitz we can transfer functional inequalities using the transport method from \mathbb{P} to μ .

The point is that the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} satisfies functional inequalities with the same constant as the Gaussian γ on \mathbb{R}^d .

Some Results
Let μ be a κ -log-concave measure with $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $S := \operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu)).$

Let μ be a κ -log-concave measure with $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $S := \operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu))$. Then,

• If $\kappa S^2 \ge 1$ then the Brownian transport map between the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} and μ is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$ -Lipschitz.

Let μ be a κ -log-concave measure with $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $S := \operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu))$. Then,

- If $\kappa S^2 \ge 1$ then the Brownian transport map between the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} and μ is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$ -Lipschitz.
- If $\kappa S^2 < 1$ then the Brownian transport map between the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} and μ is $\sqrt{\frac{e^{1-\kappa S^2}+1}{2}}S$ -Lipschitz.

Let μ be a κ -log-concave measure with $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $S := \operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu))$. Then,

- If $\kappa S^2 \ge 1$ then the Brownian transport map between the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} and μ is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$ -Lipschitz.
- If $\kappa S^2 < 1$ then the Brownian transport map between the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} and μ is $\sqrt{\frac{e^{1-\kappa S^2}+1}{2}}S$ -Lipschitz.

Example. $\kappa > 0$: If $\kappa S^2 \ge 1$ we get the analogue of Caffarelli/Kim-E. Milman,

Let μ be a κ -log-concave measure with $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $S := \operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu))$. Then,

- If $\kappa S^2 \ge 1$ then the Brownian transport map between the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} and μ is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$ -Lipschitz.
- If $\kappa S^2 < 1$ then the Brownian transport map between the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} and μ is $\sqrt{\frac{e^{1-\kappa S^2}+1}{2}}S$ -Lipschitz.

Example. $\kappa > 0$: If $\kappa S^2 \ge 1$ we get the analogue of Caffarelli/Kim-E. Milman, and if $\kappa S^2 < 1$ we get improvement.

By a result of E. Milman, if E_P[|DY₁|²] ≤ C, where C is dimension-free constant, the Kannan-Loóasz-Simonovits conjecture will follow.

- By a result of E. Milman, if E_P[|DY₁|²] ≤ C, where C is dimension-free constant, the Kannan-Loóasz-Simonovits conjecture will follow.
- No average-Lipschitz bounds (even with good dimension-dependent constants) for the Brenier/Kim-E.
 Milman maps are known.

- By a result of E. Milman, if E_P[|DY₁|²] ≤ C, where C is dimension-free constant, the Kannan-Loóasz-Simonovits conjecture will follow.
- No average-Lipschitz bounds (even with good dimension-dependent constants) for the Brenier/Kim-E.
 Milman maps are known.
- In contrast, due to stochastic localization, we were able to establish such bounds, with dimension-dependent constants, for the Brownian transport map.

- By a result of E. Milman, if E_P[|DY₁|²] ≤ C, where C is dimension-free constant, the Kannan-Loóasz-Simonovits conjecture will follow.
- No average-Lipschitz bounds (even with good dimension-dependent constants) for the Brenier/Kim-E.
 Milman maps are known.
- In contrast, due to stochastic localization, we were able to establish such bounds, with dimension-dependent constants, for the Brownian transport map. In fact, we proved general moments bounds which have applications to Stein kernels and Central Limit Theorems.

The Poisson transport map

"The Poisson transport map" (Pablo López-Rivera, Yair Shenfeld).

Lipschitz transport maps and functional inequalities

Recall the argument

$$\mathsf{Ent}_{\mu}(g^2) = \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathbb{P}}(g^2 \circ T) \leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla(g \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}$$

 $\leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |(\nabla g) \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} = C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Ent}_\mu(g^2) &= \mathsf{Ent}_\mathbb{P}(g^2 \circ \mathcal{T}) \leq C_\mathbb{P} \int |
abla(g \circ \mathcal{T})|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \ &\leq C_\mathbb{P} \int |(
abla g) \circ \mathcal{T})|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} = C_\mathbb{P} \int |
abla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu. \end{split}$$

Let π be the Poisson measure on \mathbb{N} with parameter 1.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Ent}_{\mu}(g^2) &= \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathbb{P}}(g^2 \circ T) \leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla(g \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \\ &\leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |(\nabla g) \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} = C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu. \end{split}$$

Let π be the Poisson measure on \mathbb{N} with parameter 1.

Suppose μ is a measure on $\mathbb N$ which we believe should satisfy a functional inequality.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Ent}_{\mu}(g^2) &= \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathbb{P}}(g^2 \circ T) \leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla(g \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \\ &\leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |(\nabla g) \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} = C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu. \end{split}$$

Let π be the Poisson measure on \mathbb{N} with parameter 1.

Suppose μ is a measure on $\mathbb N$ which we believe should satisfy a functional inequality.

We want to find Lipschitz map T from π to μ and use the transport method.

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(g^2) = \operatorname{Ent}_{\mathbb{P}}(g^2 \circ T) \leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla(g \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}$$

 $\leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |(\nabla g) \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} = C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$

Let π be the Poisson measure on \mathbb{N} with parameter 1.

Suppose μ is a measure on $\mathbb N$ which we believe should satisfy a functional inequality.

We want to find Lipschitz map T from π to μ and use the transport method.

Two problems: (a) splitting of mass

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Ent}_{\mu}(g^2) &= \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathbb{P}}(g^2 \circ T) \leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla(g \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \\ &\leq C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |(\nabla g) \circ T)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} = C_{\mathbb{P}} \int |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu. \end{split}$$

Let π be the Poisson measure on \mathbb{N} with parameter 1.

Suppose μ is a measure on $\mathbb N$ which we believe should satisfy a functional inequality.

We want to find Lipschitz map T from π to μ and use the transport method.

Two problems: (a) splitting of mass (b) lack of chain rule.

What is the discrete analogue of the Föllmer process?

What is the discrete analogue of the Föllmer process?

Given $\mu = f\pi$ we want to construct a process $(X_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ such that $X_1 \sim \mu$.

What is the discrete analogue of the Föllmer process?

Given $\mu = f\pi$ we want to construct a process $(X_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ such that $X_1 \sim \mu$.

First defined by Budhiraja, Dupuis, and Maroulas, but further elaborated in dimension 1, and used for functional inequalities, by Klartag and Lehec.

The controlled Poisson process

Given a Poisson point-process in $[0, 1] \times [0, M]$

The controlled Poisson process

Given a Poisson point-process in $[0,1] \times [0,M]$ define

 $X_t :=$ number of points in [0, t] imes [0, M] that fall below the curve λ



Choose

$$\lambda_t := \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t+1)}{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t)},$$

Choose

$$\lambda_t := \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t+1)}{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t)},$$

where (P_t) is the Poisson semigroup,

$$P_tg(k) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(k+n)\pi_t(n).$$

Choose

$$\lambda_t := \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t+1)}{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t)},$$

where (P_t) is the Poisson semigroup,

$$P_tg(k) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(k+n)\pi_t(n).$$

Then,

$$X_1 \sim \mu = f\pi.$$

Let $\ensuremath{\mathbb{P}}$ be the Poisson measure on the space

$$\Omega := \{\omega : \omega = \sum_i \delta_{(t_i, z_i)} \text{ for } t_i \in [0, 1], z_i \in [0, M]\}.$$

Let $\ensuremath{\mathbb{P}}$ be the Poisson measure on the space

$$\Omega := \{\omega : \omega = \sum_i \delta_{(t_i, z_i)} \text{ for } t_i \in [0, 1], z_i \in [0, M]\}.$$

The map

$$X_1: \omega \mapsto X_1(\omega)$$

transport \mathbb{P} to μ .

Let \mathbb{P} be the Poisson measure on the space

$$\Omega := \{\omega : \omega = \sum_i \delta_{(t_i, z_i)} \text{ for } t_i \in [0, 1], z_i \in [0, M]\}.$$

The map

$$X_1: \omega \mapsto X_1(\omega)$$

transport \mathbb{P} to μ . We call X_1 the **Poisson transport map**.

Let \mathbb{P} be the Poisson measure on the space

$$\Omega := \{\omega : \omega = \sum_i \delta_{(t_i, z_i)} \text{ for } t_i \in [0, 1], z_i \in [0, M]\}.$$

The map

$$X_1: \omega \mapsto X_1(\omega)$$

transport \mathbb{P} to μ . We call X_1 the **Poisson transport map**.

Note. This construction avoids the problem of splitting the mass!

The correct notion of X_1 being **1-Lipschitz** is that for almost every ω ,

$$|\mathrm{D}_{(t,z)}X_1(\omega)|\leq 1\quad orall(t,z)\in [0,1] imes [0,M],$$

The correct notion of X_1 being **1-Lipschitz** is that for almost every ω ,

$$|\mathrm{D}_{(t,z)}X_1(\omega)| \leq 1 \quad \forall (t,z) \in [0,1] \times [0,M],$$

where $D_{(t,z)}X_1(\omega)$ is the **Malliavin derivative** defined by

$$D_{(t,z)}X_1(\omega) := X_1(\omega + \delta_{(t,z)}) - X_1(\omega).$$

Transfer of functional inequalities

Modified LSI on Poisson space (Wu):

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mathbb{P}}(G) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[\int \Psi(G, \mathcal{D}_{(t,z)}G) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}z\right],$$

where $\Psi(u, v) := (u + v) \log(u + v) - u \log u - (\log u + 1)v$.
Modified LSI on Poisson space (Wu):

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mathbb{P}}(G) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[\int \Psi(G, \mathcal{D}_{(t,z)}G) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}z\right],$$

where $\Psi(u, v) := (u + v) \log(u + v) - u \log u - (\log u + 1)v$.

Proposition. Suppose that $D_{(t,z)}X_1(\omega) \in \{0,1\}$ for all (t,z).

Modified LSI on Poisson space (Wu):

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mathbb{P}}(G) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[\int \Psi(G, \mathcal{D}_{(t,z)}G) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}z\right],$$

where $\Psi(u, v) := (u + v) \log(u + v) - u \log u - (\log u + 1)v$.

Proposition. Suppose that $D_{(t,z)}X_1(\omega) \in \{0,1\}$ for all (t,z). Then, for any test function g,

 $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(g) \leq |\log \mu(0)|\mathbb{E}_{\mu}[\Psi(g, DG)],$

where Dg(k) := g(k+1) - g(k).

Modified LSI on Poisson space (Wu):

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mathbb{P}}(G) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[\int \Psi(G, \mathcal{D}_{(t,z)}G) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}z\right],$$

where $\Psi(u, v) := (u + v) \log(u + v) - u \log u - (\log u + 1)v$.

Proposition. Suppose that $D_{(t,z)}X_1(\omega) \in \{0,1\}$ for all (t,z). Then, for any test function g,

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(g) \leq |\log \mu(0)|\mathbb{E}_{\mu}[\Psi(g, DG)],$$

where Dg(k) := g(k+1) - g(k).

Note. We overcome the chain rule obstacle but we need $D_{(t,z)}X_1(\omega) \in \{0,1\}$ rather than just $D_{(t,z)}X_1(\omega) \in \{-1,0,1\}$.

When to expect Lipschitz transport maps?

We expect the same phenomenon in discrete spaces: transport maps between a Poisson source π and a target μ which is more log-concave than π should be 1-Lipschitz.

We expect the same phenomenon in discrete spaces: transport maps between a Poisson source π and a target μ which is more log-concave than π should be 1-Lipschitz.

Due to mass splitting issue this doesn't make sense for transport maps from π to $\mu.$

We expect the same phenomenon in discrete spaces: transport maps between a Poisson source π and a target μ which is more log-concave than π should be 1-Lipschitz.

Due to mass splitting issue this doesn't make sense for transport maps from π to μ . What about the Poisson transport map from $\mathbb P$ to μ ?

Ultra-log-concave measures

Definition. μ is more log-concave than π if $\mu = f\pi$ where f is log-concave,

$$f^2(k) \ge f(k-1)f(k+1), \text{ for all } k \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}.$$

Definition. μ is more log-concave than π if $\mu = f\pi$ where f is log-concave,

$$f^{2}(k) \ge f(k-1)f(k+1), \text{ for all } k \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}.$$

Such measures μ are called **ultra-log-concave** \iff

$$k\mu^2(k) \geq (k+1)\mu(k+1)\mu(k-1), \quad ext{for all } k \in \{1,2,\ldots\}.$$

Lipschitz properties of the Poisson transport map

Theorem [López-Rivera, S.]

Let μ be an ultra-log-concave measure on \mathbb{N} .

Theorem [López-Rivera, S.]

Let μ be an ultra-log-concave measure on \mathbb{N} . Then, the Poisson transport map between the Poisson measure \mathbb{P} and μ is 1-Lipschitz:

Theorem [López-Rivera, S.]

Let μ be an ultra-log-concave measure on \mathbb{N} . Then, the Poisson transport map between the Poisson measure \mathbb{P} and μ is 1-Lipschitz: \mathbb{P} -almost-surely,

 $D_{(t,z)}X_1 \in \{0,1\}$ for all $t \in [0,1], z \in [0,M]$.

Modified log-Sobolev inequalities for ultra-log-concave measures

Modified log-Sobolev inequalities for ultra-log-concave measures

Combining the Proposition and the previous Theorem we get:

Combining the Proposition and the previous Theorem we get: Theorem. [López-Rivera, S.]

Let μ be an ultra-log-concave measure on \mathbb{N} .

Combining the Proposition and the previous Theorem we get:

Theorem. [López-Rivera, S.]

Let μ be an ultra-log-concave measure on $\mathbb N.$ Then, for any test function g,

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(g) \leq |\log \mu(0)| \mathbb{E}_{\mu}[\Psi(g, DG)],$$

where Dg(k) := g(k+1) - g(k), and $\Psi(u, v) := (u + v) \log(u + v) - u \log u - (\log u + 1)v$. **Theorem.** [López-Rivera, S.] If μ is ultra-log-concave measure on \mathbb{N} then, for any test function g,

 $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(g) \leq |\log \mu(0)| \operatorname{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}[\Psi(g, DG)].$

Theorem. [López-Rivera, S.] If μ is ultra-log-concave measure on \mathbb{N} then, for any test function g,

 $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(g) \leq |\log \mu(0)| \operatorname{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}[\Psi(g, DG)].$

Remark 1. Previous modified LSI for ultra-log-concave measures (Johnson) hold with the worse constant $\frac{\mu(1)}{\mu(0)}$.

Theorem. [López-Rivera, S.] If μ is ultra-log-concave measure on \mathbb{N} then, for any test function g,

 $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(g) \leq |\log \mu(0)| \operatorname{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu}[\Psi(g, DG)].$

Remark 1. Previous modified LSI for ultra-log-concave measures (Johnson) hold with the worse constant $\frac{\mu(1)}{\mu(0)}$.

Remark 2. In light of concentration result of Aravinda, Marsiglietti, and Melbourne it is natural to conjecture that the inequality holds with the even better constant $\mathbb{E}[\mu]$.

Other functional inequalities

 We in fact prove more general modified Φ-Sobolev inequalities (Chafaï) for ultra-log-concave measures.

- We in fact prove more general modified Φ-Sobolev inequalities (Chafaï) for ultra-log-concave measures.
- We also prove transport-entropy inequalities for ultra-log-concave measures.

A few words on proofs

Establishing Lipschitz bounds for the Brownian transport map

• The Malliavin derivative satisfies

$$D_r Y_t = I_d + \int_r^t \nabla^2 \log H_{1-s} f(Y_s) D_r Y_s \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

• The Malliavin derivative satisfies

$$\mathbf{D}_r Y_t = I_d + \int_r^t \nabla^2 \log \mathbf{H}_{1-s} f(Y_s) \mathbf{D}_r Y_s \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

 Hence, if we can bound ∇² log H_{1-s}f we can derive differential inequalities for t → |DY_t|,

• The Malliavin derivative satisfies

$$D_r Y_t = I_d + \int_r^t \nabla^2 \log H_{1-s} f(Y_s) D_r Y_s \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

 Hence, if we can bound ∇² log H_{1-s}f we can derive differential inequalities for t → |DY_t|, which imply Lipschitz bounds on DY₁.

• The Malliavin derivative satisfies

$$D_r Y_t = I_d + \int_r^t \nabla^2 \log H_{1-s} f(Y_s) D_r Y_s \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

- Hence, if we can bound ∇² log H_{1-s}f we can derive differential inequalities for t → |DY_t|, which imply Lipschitz bounds on DY₁.
- Use properties of $\mu = f\gamma$ to bound $\nabla^2 \log H_{1-s}f$.

Establishing Lipschitz bounds for the Poisson transport map

Recall: X_1 is the Poisson transport map $(X_1 \sim \mu = f\pi)$.

Recall: X_1 is the Poisson transport map $(X_1 \sim \mu = f\pi)$.

In the Poisson setting we do not have the differential equation structure of the Brownian transport map.

Recall: X_1 is the Poisson transport map $(X_1 \sim \mu = f\pi)$.

In the Poisson setting we do not have the differential equation structure of the Brownian transport map.

The key point is to exploit the log-concavity of f to show that for all $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$X_s(\omega) \leq X_s(\omega + \delta_{(t,z)}) \leq X_s(\omega) + 1.$$
Recall: X_1 is the Poisson transport map $(X_1 \sim \mu = f\pi)$.

In the Poisson setting we do not have the differential equation structure of the Brownian transport map.

The key point is to exploit the log-concavity of f to show that for all $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$X_s(\omega) \leq X_s(\omega + \delta_{(t,z)}) \leq X_s(\omega) + 1.$$

This is done by comparing $\lambda_s(\omega + \delta_{(t,z)})$ to $\lambda_s(\omega)$, where we recall

$$\lambda_s = \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1-s}f(X_s+1)}{\mathrm{P}_{1-s}f(X_s)}.$$

Entropic representation formulas and functional inequalities

"Stability of Wu's logarithmic Sobolev inequality via the Poisson-Föllmer process" (Shrey Aryan, Pablo López-Rivera, Yair Shenfeld). arXiv preprint (2024)

Entropy representation formula for the Gaussian

Recall the Föllmer process: $Y_1 \sim \mu = f \gamma$ where

$$\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \,\mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0.$$

Recall the Föllmer process: $Y_1 \sim \mu = f \gamma$ where

$$\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \,\mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0.$$

Then,

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}\left[|\nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t)|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t.$$

with

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\gamma) := \int \log\left(rac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\gamma}
ight) \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Recall the Föllmer process: $Y_1 \sim \mu = f \gamma$ where

$$\mathrm{d} Y_t = \nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t) \,\mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} B_t, \quad Y_0 = 0.$$

Then,

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[|\nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t)|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t,$$

with

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\gamma) := \int \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\gamma}\right) \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

The entropy representation formula is due to Boué & Dupuis/Borell/Lehec.

Lehec's proof of the Gaussian log-Sobolev inequality

Recall $Y_1 \sim \mu = f\gamma$ and $H(\mu|\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E} \left[|\nabla \log H_{1-t} f(Y_t)|^2 \right] dt.$ Recall $Y_1 \sim \mu = f\gamma$ and

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}\left[|\nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t)|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t.$$

Since $t \mapsto \nabla \log \operatorname{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t)$ is a martingale,

Recall $Y_1 \sim \mu = f \gamma$ and

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}\left[|\nabla \log \mathrm{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t)|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t.$$

Since $t \mapsto \nabla \log \operatorname{H}_{1-t} f(Y_t)$ is a martingale,

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\gamma) \leq rac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[|
abla \log f(Y_1)|^2
ight] = rac{1}{2}\mathrm{I}(\mu|\gamma),$$

where $I(\mu|\gamma) = \int |\nabla \log f|^2 d\mu$ is the relative Fisher information w.r.t. the Gaussian.

Wu's modified log-Sobolev inequality

Let $\mu = f\pi$ be a positive probability measure on \mathbb{N} where π is the Poisson measure with parameter 1.

Let $\mu = f\pi$ be a positive probability measure on $\mathbb N$ where π is the Poisson measure with parameter 1. Then,

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\pi) \leq \int_{\mathbb{N}} f(k+1) \left\{ \log \left(\frac{f(k+1)}{f(k)} \right) - 1 + \frac{f(k)}{f(k+1)} \right\} \mathrm{d}\pi(k).$$

Entropy representation formula for the Poisson

Recall the Poisson-Föllmer process: $X_1 \sim \mu = f \pi$ where

 $X_t :=$ number of points in $[0, t] \times [0, \infty)$ that fall below the curve λ , with

$$\lambda_t = \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t+1)}{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t)}.$$

Recall the Poisson-Föllmer process: $X_1 \sim \mu = f\pi$ where

 $X_t :=$ number of points in $[0, t] \times [0, \infty)$ that fall below the curve λ , with

$$\lambda_t = \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t+1)}{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t)}.$$

Then,

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\pi) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[\lambda_t \log \lambda_t - \lambda_t + 1] \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Recall the Poisson-Föllmer process: $X_1 \sim \mu = f\pi$ where

 $X_t :=$ number of points in $[0, t] \times [0, \infty)$ that fall below the curve λ ,

with

$$\lambda_t = \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t+1)}{\mathrm{P}_{1-t}f(X_t)}.$$

Then,

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\pi) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[\lambda_t \log \lambda_t - \lambda_t + 1] \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

The entropy representation formula is due to Budhiraja & Dupuis & Maroulas/Klartag & Lehec.

New proof of Wu's inequality

Recall

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\pi) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[\lambda_t \log \lambda_t - \lambda_t + 1] \,\mathrm{d}t$$

Recall

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu|\pi) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[\lambda_t \log \lambda_t - \lambda_t + 1] \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Since $t\mapsto\lambda_t$ is a martingale,

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{H}(\mu|\pi) &\leq \mathbb{E}[\lambda_1 \log \lambda_1 - \lambda_1 + 1] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} f(k+1) \left\{ \log \left(\frac{f(k+1)}{f(k)} \right) - 1 + \frac{f(k)}{f(k+1)} \right\} \mathrm{d}\pi(k). \end{split}$$

Stability of the Gaussian log-Sobolev inequality and Wu's inequality

Stability of the Gaussian log-Sobolev inequality and Wu's inequality

• In "Stability of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality via the Foïlmer Process" (Ronen Eldan, Joseph Lehec, Yair Shenfeld) we used the Gaussian entropy representation formula to derive **stability** results for the Gaussian log-Sobolev inequality.

- In "Stability of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality via the Follmer Process" (Ronen Eldan, Joseph Lehec, Yair Shenfeld) we used the Gaussian entropy representation formula to derive **stability** results for the Gaussian log-Sobolev inequality.
- In 'Stability of Wu's logarithmic Sobolev inequality via the Poisson-Föllmer process" (Shrey Aryan, Pablo López-Rivera, Yair Shenfeld) we used the Poisson entropy representation formula to derive new stability results of the inequality when μ = fπ, assuming f is ultra-log-concave.

Comparison between the Gaussian and Poisson settings

 In the Gaussian setting the stability results are obtained by deriving differential inequalities for |∇ log f(Y₁)|².

- In the Gaussian setting the stability results are obtained by deriving differential inequalities for |∇ log f(Y₁)|².
- The discrete nature of the Poisson setting does not allow for such differential inequalities for λ_t.

- In the Gaussian setting the stability results are obtained by deriving differential inequalities for |∇ log f(Y₁)|².
- The discrete nature of the Poisson setting does not allow for such differential inequalities for λ_t.
- Instead, we find a useful representation formula as a replacement for the lack of differential inequalities, from which we derive our stability results.

Thank You