

Mohit Singh, Georgia Tech



SPECTRAL OPTIMIZATION

- Represent data using vectors and matrices.
- Study the spectral properties of natural matrices associated with combinations of data.
 - Determinant, maximum or minimum eigenvalue etc.
- Spectral Sparsifiers and Cut sparsifiers [Spielman, Teng'08].



- This talk will be about optimizing the spectral function: determinant.
 - Close relationship to matroid intersection.



OUTLINE

- Introduction
 - Problem Statement
 - Applications
- Results and Techniques
 - Combinatorial Methods: Matroid Intersection
- Open Questions



PROBLEM STATEMENT

- Given $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, approximate

$$OPT(v_1, \dots, v_n; \mathcal{U}_k) = \max\left\{ det\left(\sum_{i \in S} v_i v_i^T\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} : |S| \le k \right\}$$

and more generally,

$$OPT(v_1, \dots, v_n; \mathcal{B}) = \max\left\{ det\left(\sum_{i \in S} v_i v_i^T\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} : S \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{B} =$ bases of a matroid of rank $k \ge d$ over [n]

- Examples:
 - Uniform matroid: $\mathcal{B} = \{S \subseteq [n] : |S| = k\}$
 - Partition matroid: $\mathcal{B} = \{S \subseteq [n]: \forall i \mid S \cap P_i \mid = 1\}$, where $[n] = P_1 \cup ... \cup P_k$





EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

- Unknown $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- Obtain linear measurements $y_i = v_i \cdot \theta^* + \eta_i$

for some $v_i \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and noise $\eta_i = N(0, \sigma)$.

- Feasible sets of measurements: S ∈ B, where B ⊆ (^[n]_k)
 E.g., B = {S: |S| = k} : can only make k measurements
- Goal: choose $S \in \mathcal{B}$ so that the MLE

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg\min\left\{\sum_{i\in S} (y_i - v_i \cdot \theta)^2 : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\right\}$$

is as accurate as possible



EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN: OBJECTIVES

•
$$\hat{\theta} = \arg\min\{\sum_{i \in S} (y_i - v_i \cdot \theta)^2 : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$$

•
$$\hat{\theta} - \theta^* \sim N(0, \sigma \cdot \Sigma)$$
, where $\Sigma = \left(\sum_{i \in S} v_i v_i^T\right)^{-1}$

- Confidence ellipsoid : $E = \hat{\theta} + O(\sqrt{d} \sigma) \cdot \Sigma^{1/2} \cdot B_2^d$
 - *E* contains θ^* with 95% probability
- D-optimal design:



- Equivalent to minimizing the volume of E
- Other objectives: minimize $tr(\Sigma)/d$, $\|\Sigma\|_{op}$, ...

[Kiefer '59, Fedorov '72, Kiefer '75, Atkinson and Donev '92, Miller and Nguyen '94, Pukelsheim '06, Avron and Boutsidis '13, Allen-Zhu, Li, Singh and Wang '17, Singh and Xie '18, Atkinson, Nikolov, Singh, and Tantipongpipat '19.....]



CONVEX GEOMETRY

- Given a set of n vectors $v_1, ..., v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, pick (d+1) vectors to maximize the volume of the simplex formed by them.
- Given a set of n vectors $v_1, ..., v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, pick d vectors to maximize the volume of the parallelopiped formed by them.
 - Volume of parallelopiped formed by $\{v_i : i \in S\}$ is exactly $\sqrt{\det(V_S^T V_S)}$.
- Can be reduced to Determinant maximization.



- Khachiyan[1995], Di Summa, Eisenbrand, Faenza, Moldenhauer[2014], Nikolov[2016].
- Closely related to Determinantal Point Processes [Kulesza, Taskar'2012] arriving in probability theory and machine learning.



MAXIMUM ENTROPY SAMPLING

A closely related cousin of determinant maximization is the maximum entropy sampling problem [Fampa, Lee '23].

Given a $d \times d$ P.S.D. matrix C and integer $k \leq d$, find a principal submatrix B of C of maximum determinant.

-Work on stronger upper and lower bounds. [Ko, Lee, Wayne'98, Fampa, Lee'22] -Branch and bound methods.[Ko, Lee, Queryanne'95][Lee'98]

Lots of this work also applies to determinant maximization.

Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering Marcia Fampa Jon Lee Maximum-Entropy Sampling Algorithms and Application



NETWORK DESIGN

• Given a graph G = (V, E), find a spanning subgraph H = (V, F) that is

- well connected.
- H = (V, F) is chosen such that F satisfies certain combinatorial constraints, for example,
 - $|F| \leq k$, or
 - Given a coloring of E, pick at most 1 edge of each color in F.
- Well connected=> maximize number of spanning trees in H [Li, Patterson, Yi, Zhang 19]
- Graph G = (V, E). For an edge $e = ab \in E$, let $v_e = 1_a 1_b \in R^V$
- Laplacian: $L_G = \sum_{e \in E} v_e v_e^T$
- [Kirchoff 1847] #{spanning trees of G} = det $\left(L_G + \frac{1}{|V|^2} \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T\right)$



ALLOCATION OF GOODS



- *m* goods, *d* agents; agent *i* has utility $u_i(j)$ for good *j*, and $u_i(S) = \sum_{j \in S} u_i(j)$
- Goal: find allocation $\sigma: [m] \rightarrow [d]$ to maximize welfare
- Nash Social Welfare: maximize geometric mean of agent utilities

 $\max\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(\sum_{j:\sigma(j)=i} u_{i(j)}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} : \sigma \colon [m] \to [d]\right\}$

- Interpolates between total utility and min utility
- Can be modeled as determinant maximization s.t. partition constraints [Anari, OveisGharan, Saberi, Singh 17]. eapproximation.
- Approximation for Nash Social Welfare: [Cole, Gkatzelis'16], [Barman, Krishnamurthy, Vaish'18] 1.45-approximation.
- Recent works generalize the models [Anari, Mai, Oveis-Gharan, Vazirani'18], [Garg, Vegh, Husic' 20], [Barman, Krishna, Kulkarni, Narang'21], [Barman, Verma' 21], [Li, Vondrak'21]



PROBLEM STATEMENT

- Given $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, approximate

$$OPT(v_1, \dots, v_n; \mathcal{B}) = \max\left\{ det\left(\sum_{i \in S} v_i v_i^T\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} : S \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

- $\mathcal{B} =$ bases of a matroid of rank $k \ge d$ over [n]
- Examples:
 - Uniform matroid: $\mathcal{B} = \{S \subseteq [n]: |S| = k\}$
 - Partition matroid: $\mathcal{B} = \{S \subseteq [n]: \forall i | S \cap P_i| = 1\}$, where $[n] = P_1 \cup ... \cup P_k$





RESULTS AND TECHNIQUES

$$OPT(v_1, \dots, v_n; \mathcal{B}) = \max\left\{ det\left(\sum_{i \in S} v_i v_i^T\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} : S \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

	Convex Programming Based Methods	Combinatorial Methods
Cardinality Constraints (Pick k vectors)	Randomized Rounding: e-approximation [Nikolov'15, S'Xie'18] Spectral Sparsification: $(1+\epsilon)$ -approximation when $k \ge d/\epsilon^2$ [ALSW'17] Volume Sampling: $(1+\epsilon)$ -approximation when $k \ge O\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)$ [Nikolov, S', Tantipongpipat'18]	Local Search/Greedy: $(1+\epsilon)$ - approximation when $k \ge d/\epsilon$ [Madan, S', Tantipongpipat, Xie'19, Lau Zhou'22] (Widely implemented in SAS and other softwares)
General Matroid Constraint (Pick k vectors that form a basis)	Stable Polynomials and Strongly log-concave polynomials: e-approximate estimation and e^d -approximation [Nikolov, S' 16, Anari, Oveis-Gharan'17, Anari, Oveis- Gharan, Vinzant'19] (when k \leq d) Sparsity of Convex Programs: d-approximate	Matroid Intersection Based Methods: <i>d</i> -approximation algorithm [Brown, Laddha, Pittu, S', Tetali'22, '23]

estimation and d^{α} -approximation [Madan, Nikolov, S', Tantipongpipat'19] (when k>d)

MAIN RESULT FOR THE TALK

• Given $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, approximate

$$OPT(v_1, \dots, v_n; \mathcal{B}) = \max\left\{ det\left(\sum_{i \in S} v_i v_i^T\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} : S \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

- $\mathcal{B} = \text{ bases of a matroid of rank } k \ge d \text{ over } [n]$
- Theorem [Brown, Laddha, Pittu, S', Tetali '22,'23] : There exists a polynomial time algorithm for the determinant maximization problem under a matroid constraint that returns a solution S such that

$$det(V_S V_S^T) \ge \frac{1}{d^d} \quad det(V_T V_T^T)$$
 where **T** is the optimal solution



FEASIBILITY?

- Given $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, approximate

$$OPT(v_1, \dots, v_n; \mathcal{B}) = \max\left\{ det\left(\sum_{i \in S} v_i v_i^T\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} : S \in \mathcal{B} \right\} = 1 \qquad = 1$$

• $\mathcal{B} =$ bases of a matroid of rank $k \ge d$ over [n]



- Examples:
 - Partition matroid: $\mathcal{B} = \{S \subseteq [n]: \forall i | S \cap P_i| = 1\}$, where $[n] = P_1 \cup ... \cup P_k$.

How do we even know there is a feasible solution with non-zero objective?

For ease of exposition, we assume k=d. Then $det(V_S V_S^T) = det(V_S) det(V_S^T) = det(V_S)^2 = vol(S)^2$.



MATROID INTERSECTION

Lemma: The objective of max determinant problem is non-zero iff there exists a feasible set S of vectors such that they form a basis R^d .

```
Matroid: M = (V, I) is a matroid for some I \subseteq 2^V if we have the following two axioms.

A \in I and B \subseteq A implies B \in I.

A, B \in I s.t. |A| > |B| then there exists e \in A \setminus B such that B \cup \{e\} \in I.

Basis: size of the maximal set in I.
```

Consider two matroid over the set of vectors.

- Partition constraints $M_1 = (V, I_1)$: Pick at most 1 vector from each P_i , i.e. $A \in I_1$ if $|A \cap P_i| \le 1$ for each i.
- Linear Independence M₂ = (V, I₂):: Independent set form a linearly independent set of vectors, i.e. A ∈ I₂ if vectors in A are linearly independent.

Lemma: The objective of max-determinant is non-zero iff there is a common basis of two matroid.

Theorem[Edmonds'71]: One can find a common basis of two matroids in polynomial time.



WEIGHTED MATROID INTERSECTION

- We are interested in the weighted problem where if we pick a set S, the weight is given by $det(\sum_{i \in S} v_i v_i^T)^{\frac{1}{d}}$.
- Classically additive weight functions are studied.
- Given weights w_i for each vector v_i . The weight of a subset S is $w(S) = \sum_{v_i \in S} w_i$.
- Problem: Given two matroids, find a common basis S of maximum weight w(S).
- Theorem[Edmonds'71]: Maximum weight common basis problem is solvable in polynomial time.

The problem and algorithm generalizes maximum weight matching problem in bipartite graphs.



EXCHANGE GRAPH

- Given a feasible common basis S of two matroids, consider the directed bipartite graph D(S) with bipartition (V \ S,S).
- Add arc (y, x) where $y \in S$ and $x \notin S$ if $S y + x \in I_1$.
- Add arc (x, y) where $v \in S$ and $x \notin S$ if $S y + x \in I_2$.
- Let l(y) = w(y) for each $y \in S$ and l(x) = -w(x) for each $x \notin S$.
- Theorem: S is maximum weight basis iff there is a no negative length cycle C in S.
- Algorithm for maximum weight common basis:
 - Initialize with any common basis S.
 - Define the exchange graph D(S).
 - If there is no negative length cycle in D(S), declare optimal.
 - Else, find a shortest hop negative length cycle C. Let $S \leftarrow S\Delta C$.





EXCHANGE GRAPH: UPDATED WEIGHTS

Challenge: Recall our weight function is not additive.

Updated weights: We place weights w_{uv} on edges of D(S) and not on vertices.

Indeed if $(u, v) \in D(S)$ where $u \notin S$ and $v \in S$ we place a weight of $-\log \frac{vol(S-v+u)}{vol(S)}$, i.e., change in volume when replacing v by u.

The backward edges $(v, u) \in D(S)$ where $u \notin S$ and $v \in S$ get weight 0.





CYCLES AND DETERMINANTS

• Lemma[Determinant to Cycle]: Let T denote the set of vectors in the optimal solution. If $\frac{vol(T)}{vol(S)} > d^{O(d)}$ then there exists a cycle C in D(S) such that $\sum_{e \in C} w_e \leq -5|C|\log|C|$.

Lemma [Cycle to Determinant]: If C is the shortest hop length cycle with $\sum_{e \in C} w_e \leq -5|C| \log |C|$ then $\frac{\det(S\Delta C)}{\det(S)} \geq 2$. Moreover, $S\Delta C$ is a basis of constraint matroid.

 $U \setminus S \qquad S$ $u_1 \qquad v_0 \qquad v_1$ $u_2 \qquad v_2 \qquad \vdots$ $u_{\ell-1} \qquad v_{\ell-1} \qquad v_{\ell-1}$



EXISTENCE OF CYCLES

- Lemma[Determinant to Cycle]: Let T denote the set of vectors in the optimal solution. If $\frac{vol(T)}{vol(S)} > d^{O(d)}$ then there exists a cycle C in D(S) such that $\sum_{e \in C} w_e \le -5|C|\log|C|$.
- Proof: Order vectors in T and S appropriately.

Write each vector in T as a linear combination of vectors in S.

 $V_T = V_S A$ where A is a $d \times d$ matrix.

The entries of A are also the weight on the edges.

Now,
$$\frac{vol(T)}{vol(S)} = \frac{\det(V_T)}{\det(V_S)} = \det(A)$$
. Thus $\det(A) > d^{O(d)}$.

Thus there exists a permutation $\pi: T \to S$ such that

$$\prod_{u \in T} a_{u\pi(u)} \ge \frac{d^{O(d)}}{d!} = d^{O(d)}.$$

But this permutation corresponds to a collection of cycles and therefore one of the cycles must have really negative length.





VOLUME CHANGE IN CYCLE EXCHANGE

Lemma [Cycle to Determinant]: If C is the shortest hop length cycle with $\sum_{e \in C} w_e \leq -5|C| \log |C|$ then $\frac{\det(S\Delta C)}{\det(S)} \geq 2$. Moreover, $S\Delta C$ is a basis of constraint matroid.

- Claim: Let C be a cycle in D(S). Let $S' = S\Delta C$. Then Vol(S') = $Vol(S) \cdot det(B)$ where B is $|C| \times |C|$ matrix indexed by $C \setminus S$ and $S \setminus C$ and entries a_{uv} .
- Observe that weights on the edges of the cycle are exactly the diagonal entries of this cycle.





 $U \backslash S$

VOLUME CHANGE IN CYCLE EXCHANGE $U \setminus S$

Lemma [Cycle to Determinant]: If C is the shortest hop length cycle with $\sum_{e \in C} w_e \leq -5|C| \log |C|$ then $\frac{\det(S\Delta C)}{\det(S)} \geq 2$. Moreover, $S\Delta C$ is a basis of constraint matroid.

• Claim: If C is the shortest length cycle with $\sum_{e \in C} w_e \leq -5|C| \log |C|$ then det(B)> 2.

Why? Use the fact that this is the shortest cycle. This allows to bound non-diagonal entries of the matrix B. A technical argument then shows that the determinant is close to the product of diagonal entries.



S



FINAL GUARANTEE

• Theorem [Brown, Laddha, Pittu, S', Tetali '22, 23] : There exists a polynomial time algorithm for the determinant maximization problem under a matroid constraint that returns a solution S such that

 $det(V_S V_S^T) \ge \frac{1}{d^{O(d)}} \quad det(V_T V_T^T)$ where **T** is the optimal solution.



CONCLUSION AND OPEN QUESTIONS

- Introduced the determinant maximization problem.
- Both combinatorial and convex programming methods are applicable.
- Combinatorial methods are used in practice and have provable guarantees.
- Better guarantees?
- Here we focused on determinant objective. Other spectral objectives?

