Variational problems from electrostatics, contact mechanics, and physics of ferromagnetic materials

Alex Vlasiuk

Parametric Technology Corporation

Recent Progress on Optimal Point Distributions and Related Fields ICERM Jun 3-7 2024

Thermodynamic limits

Goal: study assemblies of large numbers of identical subsystems; characterize them as the number of subsystems goes to infinity (thermodynamic limit).

Practically: given a Hamiltonian on \mathbb{R}^d

$$H(p_1,...,p_N,x_1,...,x_N) = U(x_1,...,x_N) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2m},$$

study¹ the asymptotic behavior of its configurational integral,

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\log\frac{1}{N!}\int_{\Omega}\ldots\int_{\Omega}exp(-\beta U(x_1,\ldots,x_N)),\ dx_1\ldots dx_N$$

when

$$\Omega
ightarrow \infty$$

(in a sense to be made precise).

¹Van Hove, Fisher, Ruelle, Dobrushin, and others

Thermodynamic functions

▶ Other thermodynamic functions and regimes can be considered.

Example: function \mathfrak{e} on configurations $\omega_N = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ and compact sets $\Omega \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathfrak{e}: (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \times \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to [0,\infty],$$

optimized over $\omega_N \subset \Omega$:

$$\mathfrak{e}(\omega_N^*,\Omega^N)=\inf_{\omega_N}\mathfrak{e}(\omega_N,\Omega^N)$$

- ▶ minimizer/maximizer: ω_N^*
- ▶ inf / sup can be taken over Ω^N only, if undefined over $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$.
- ► Goal: study the asymptotics of optimizers when $N \to \infty$. Set Ω is fixed!

Tempered interactions

The potential energy $U(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ is always translation-independent (possibly isometry-independent), consists of k-(tuple)interactions:

$$U(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \sum_{k \ge 2} \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le \ldots \le i_k \le N} \Phi_k(x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_k})$$

Tempered k-interaction:

$$W_{N_1N_2}(x'_1,\ldots,x'_{N_1},x''_1,\ldots,x''_{N_2}) \leqslant CN_1N_2r^{-s}, \qquad s>d.$$



Stable interactions

Fisher and Ruelle prove existence of thermodynamic limits under the assumptions



temperedness: distant particles interact weakly

$$W_{N_1N_2}(x'_1,\ldots,x'_{N_1},x''_1,\ldots,x''_{N_2}) \leqslant CN_1N_2r^{-s}, \qquad s>d.$$



stability: no bounded volume with infinitely many particles

$$U(x_1,\ldots,x_N) \geqslant -cN$$

Stable vs positive definite

For upper-semicontinuous pair interactions,

$$0 \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Phi(x_i - x_j) = N \Phi(0) + 2U(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$$

holds for all x_i iff the corresponding $U(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \ge -cN$ (is stable).

In particular, pair potentials $\Phi(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$\Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$$

with Φ_1 positive and Φ_2 positive definite give rise to stable U.

Examples of unstable (catastrophic) interactions



- take R the nearest-neighbor distance in face-centered cubic lattice.

Non-stability of gravity



Figure: Cosmic Cliffs at the edge of the Carina Nebula, by James Webb Space Telescope

Expanding sequences of sets

Fisher-Ruelle

Classical mathematical physics results assume boundary regions of the expanding sequence of sets Ω take a small proportion of volume:



Hardin-Saff-V



Lieb in Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 22, 1-49 (1990):

motivation came from earlier work by Van Hove, Lee and Yang, van Kampen, Wils, Mazur, van der Linden, Griffiths, Dobrushin, and especially Fisher and Ruelle who formulated the problem and showed how to handle certain well chosen, but unrealistic forces.)

Short-range interactions zoo I



Left: E_s^k , s > 0 only includes terms for k nearest neighbors of every $x \in \omega_N$. Right: quadratic quantization error M_2 depends on the second moment of the Voronoi cell of x.

Short-range interactions zoo II









Left: covering with N = 9 points. *Right:* polarizing with N = 9 points, s > 2. *Below:* a single point in a dark set, for comparison.



Persson and Strang's DistMesh



A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			
			XXR

SXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			
		NVVVV	WWWW

Shimada-Gossard



Figure: Shimada-Gossard bubble packing

Andrade-Vyas-Shimada: non-isotropic packing for CAD



Fractals

▶ A compact $A \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ is a *self-similar fractal* with similitudes $\{\psi_m\}_{m=1}^M$ and their contraction ratios $0 < r_m < 1$, $1 \leq m \leq M$, if

$$A=\bigsqcup_{m=1}^M\psi_m(A).$$



Figure: A Koch snowflake.

▶ Good fractals satisfy the open set condition: for an open $V \supset A$,

$$\bigsqcup_{m=1}^{M}\psi_m(V)\subset V.$$

► Anderson-Reznikov-V-White: polarization has the thermodynamic limit on good fractals. Also, examples of when this limit does not exist.

Fractals are hard... renewal theory

Theorem (Feller 1966)

Let μ be a probability measure on $[0, \infty)$ and Z(u) be a function defined on $[0, \infty)$. Assume that for some positive constants C, ϵ , and u sufficiently large there holds

$$\left|Z(u)-\int_{0}^{u}Z(u-x)\,d\mu(x)\right|\leqslant Ce^{-\epsilon u}.$$

Then $\lim_{u\to\infty} Z(u)$ exists.

▶ Work with a recursion of the form

$$N(t) = L(t) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} N(tr_m^s)$$

with r_1, \ldots, r_m the contraction ratios of the leaves of the fractal. Requires controlling $|L(t)| \leq Ct^{d/s-\epsilon}$.

Non-isotropic interactions

- ▶ Dipoles are attached to a fixed set of locations, e.g. a lattice.
- Every dipole has a moment vector associated to it: m_i .
- ► Dipole-dipole interaction:

$$E_{ij} = \frac{m_i \cdot m_j}{\|r_{ij}\|^3} - 3 \frac{(m_i \cdot r_{ij})(m_j \cdot r_{ij})}{\|r_{ij}\|^5}$$

Hamiltonian:

$$\sum_{i\neq j}m_iJ_{ij}m_j,$$

with J_{ij} the 3 \times 3 matrix

$$\frac{1}{\|r_{ij}\|^3}\left(I-3\frac{r_{ij}\otimes r_{ij}}{\|r_{ij}\|^2}\right)$$

Stability can be shown – but is it enough?

Aperiodic dipole systems: Penrose



Figure: Left: Somewhat optimized dipoles on the vertices of Penrose tiling. Right: Stream plot of the same configuration.

Aperiodic dipole systems: Ammann tiling



Figure: Left: Somewhat optimized dipoles on the vertices of the aperiodic Ammann tiling.

Ammann vs Penrose



Figure: Left: Ammann. Right: Penrose.

Periodic tilings: snub square and ladybug



Periodic dipole systems: snub square tiling



Figure: Left: Somewhat optimized dipoles on the vertices of (a variant of) snub square tiling – a union of several lattices. Right: Stream plot of the same configuration.

Periodic dipole systems: ladybug tiling



Figure: Left: Somewhat optimized dipoles on the vertices of another snub square tiling. Right: Stream plot of the same configuration.

Triangular Laves tiling



Figure: Dipoles on the vertices of Laves tiling with their stream flow.

Experiments with dipoles on spheres



Figure: Left to right: $\{2, 4, 8, 10\} \times 10^2$ dipoles. Locations of the dipoles are produced by minimizing Riesz energy with s = 3.

Experiments with dipoles on tori



Figure: Left to right: $\{1,2,4\} \times 10^2$ dipoles. Locations of the dipoles are produced by minimizing Riesz energy with s = 3.

Conclusions and open questions

Short-range interactions without scale invariance can be subtle, with the limit depending on scaling – see the Lennard-Jones potential

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^6.$$

- Tools for exploring particle asymptotics on non-smooth sets: (i) short-range interactions, (ii) renewal theory.
- Thermodynamic limits on fractals are open for most short-range and long-range interactions alike.

Dynamics of short-range gradient flow: conjectured to converge to the porous medium equation $\partial_t \rho = C_{\mathbf{c}} \Delta \rho^{1+\sigma}$.

Geometry of non-isotropic minimizers?

Thank you!