## Binary Polynomial Optimization: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications

January 2023

#### Aida Khajavirad

Lehigh University

Joint work with: Alberto Del Pia (University of Wisconsin-Madison)

#### **Problem definition**

• Let  $V = \{1, ..., n\}$ , let E be a set of subsets of cardinality at least two of V, and let  $V_1, V_2$  be a partition of V. Consider the optimization problem:

$$\max\left\{\sum_{e\in E} c_e \prod_{v\in e} z_v: z_v \in [0,1] \ \forall v \in V_1, \ z_v \in \{0,1\} \ \forall v \in V_2\right\}$$

- − V<sub>1</sub> = Ø: Pseudo-Boolean optimization, unconstrained binary polynomial optimization, unconstrained binary nonlinear optimization
   − V<sub>2</sub> = Ø: maximizing a multilinear function over a box
- Define  $z_e := \prod_{v \in e} z_v$  for all  $e \in E$ :

$$\max \sum_{e \in E} c_e z_e,$$
  
s.t.  $z_e = \prod_{v \in e} z_v, \forall e \in E$   
 $z_v \in \{0, 1\}, \forall v \in V.$ 

#### The multilinear polytope

• We define the multilinear set as:

$$\mathcal{S} = \Big\{ z \in \{0, 1\}^{|V| + |E|} : z_e = \prod_{v \in e} z_v, \forall e \in E \Big\}.$$

• Example:

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ z \in \{0,1\}^8 : z_{12} = z_1 z_2, z_{24} = z_2 z_4, z_{123} = z_1 z_2 z_3, z_{134} = z_1 z_3 z_4 \right\}.$$

• We define the multilinear polytope as the convex hull of the multilinear set:

$$\mathsf{MP} = \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{S})$$

If |e| = 2 for all e ∈ E, then MP is the Boolean quadric polytope QP (Padberg, 89) and hence the cut polytope under a bijective linear transformation.

#### The hypergraph representation of multilinear sets

• With any hypergraph G = (V, E), we associate a multilinear set  $S_G$  defined as:

$$S_{G} = \{z \in \{0, 1\}^{d} : z_{e} = \prod_{v \in e} z_{v}, \ e \in E\},\$$
where  $d = |V| + |E|.$  We define  $MP_{G} = \operatorname{conv}(S_{G}).$ 

$$z_{12} = z_{1}z_{2}$$

$$z_{24} = z_{2}z_{4}$$

$$z_{123} = z_{1}z_{2}z_{3}$$

$$z_{134} = z_{1}z_{3}z_{4}$$

• For quadratic sets, we obtain the graph representation of  $QP_G$  (Padberg, 89)



• The rank of G is the maximum cardinality of any edge in E.

#### Standard linearization of multilinear sets

• Replace each multilinear term  $z_e = \prod_{v \in e} z_v$ , by its convex hull over the unit hypercube and use  $\bigcap_i \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{S}_i) \supseteq \operatorname{conv}(\bigcap_i \mathcal{S}_i)$  to obtain the standard linearization  $\operatorname{MP}_G^{\operatorname{LP}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}_G$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{MP}_{G}^{\mathsf{LP}} &= \Big\{ z: \quad z_{v} \leq 1, \; \forall v \in V, z_{e} \geq 0, \; z_{e} \geq \sum_{v \in e} z_{v} - |e| + 1, \; \forall e \in E, \\ &z_{e} \leq z_{v}, \forall v \in e, \; \forall e \in E \Big\}. \end{split}$$

- Existing results for the Boolean quadric polytope:
  - $QP_G = QP_G^{LP}$  iff G is an acyclic graph (Padberg 89).
  - Let  $QP_G^C$  be polytope obtained by adding all odd cycle inequalities to  $QP_G^{LP}$ ;  $QP_G = QP_G^C$  iff G is a series-parallel graph (Barahona 86, Padberg 89).
  - Optimizing over  $QP_G^{LP}$  and  $QP_G^C$  can be done in polynomial-time.
- Goal: obtaining similar results for higher degree multilinear sets in terms of easily verifiable conditions on the structure of underlying hypergraphs.

## **Cycles in hypergraphs**

- Hypergraph acyclicity in increasing degree of generality: Berge-acyclicity,  $\gamma$ -acyclicity,  $\beta$ -acyclicity, and  $\alpha$ -acyclicity.
- A Berge-cycle in G of length t for some  $t \ge 2$ , is a sequence  $C = v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, \ldots, v_t, e_t, v_1$  with the following properties:
  - $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t$  are distinct nodes of G,
  - $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_t$  are distinct edges of G,
  - $v_i, v_{i+1} \in e_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, t-1$ , and  $v_t, v_1 \in e_t$ .
- A hypergraph is Berge-acyclic when it contains no Berge-cycles.



Berge-cycle:  $C = v_1, e_{12}, v_2, e_{123}, v_1$ 



### **Decomposability of multilinear sets**

- Given  $V' \subset V$ , the section hypergraph of G induced by V' is G' = (V', E'), where  $E' = \{e \in E : e \subseteq V'\}$ .
- Given  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ , we denote by  $G_1 \cup G_2$ , the hypergraph  $(V_1 \cup V_2, E_1 \cup E_2)$ , and by  $G_1 \cap G_2$ , the hypergraph  $(V_1 \cap V_2, E_1 \cap E_2)$ .
- Let  $G_1, G_2$  be section hypergraphs of G such that  $G_1 \cup G_2 = G$ . We say that  $S_G$  is decomposable into  $S_{G_1}$  and  $S_{G_2}$ , if

$$\operatorname{conv}\mathcal{S}_G = \operatorname{conv}\mathcal{S}_{G_1} \cap \operatorname{conv}\mathcal{S}_{G_2}.$$

- A hypergraph G = (V, E) is complete if all subsets of V of cardinality at least two are in E.
- Theorem: Let  $G_1, G_2$  be section hypergraphs of G such that  $G_1 \cup G_2 = G$  and  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is a complete hypergraph. Then the set  $S_G$  is decomposable into  $S_{G_1}$  and  $S_{G_2}$ .

#### The standard linearization vs. the convex hull relaxation

- Theorem:  $MP_G^{LP} = MP_G$  if and only if G is a Berge-acyclic hypergraph.
- Proof sketch:
  - If G has a Berge-cycle of length two; i.e.,  $E(C) = \{e_1, e_2\}$  with  $|e_1 \cap e_2| \ge 2$ , the following is valid for  $S_G$ :

$$\sum_{v \in e_2 \setminus e_1} z_v + z_{e_1} - z_{e_2} \le |e_2 \setminus e_1|$$

Consider  $\tilde{z}_v = 1$  for all  $v \in e_2 \setminus e_1$ ,  $\tilde{z}_v = 1/2$  for all  $v \in e_1$ ,  $\tilde{z}_v = 0$  for the remaining nodes in G,  $\tilde{z}_{e_1} = 1/2$ ,  $\tilde{z}_{e_2} = 0$ ,  $\tilde{z}_e = 1$  for all  $e \subseteq e_2 \setminus e_1$ ,  $\tilde{z}_e = 0$ for all  $e \not\subseteq e_1 \cup e_2$  and  $\tilde{z}_e = 1/2$  for all remaining edges in G.  $\tilde{z} \in \mathsf{MP}_G^{\mathsf{LP}}$ . Substituting  $\tilde{z}$  in the above inequality yields  $|e_2 \setminus e_1| + 1/2 - 0 \nleq |e_2 \setminus e_1|$ . - Let C be a Berge-cycle of minimum length t, where  $t \ge 3$ . Since  $|e_i \cap e_j| \le 1$ for all  $e_i, e_j \in E$ , the subhypergraph  $G_{V(C)}$  is a graph consisting of a chordless cycle. To show  $\mathsf{MP}_G \subset \mathsf{MP}_G^{LP}$  is suffices to show that  $\mathsf{MP}_{G_{V(C)}} \subset \mathsf{MP}_{G_{V(C)}}^{\mathsf{LP}}$ . The polytope  $\mathsf{MP}_{G_{V(C)}}$  is integral while  $\mathsf{MP}_{G_{V(C)}}^{\mathsf{LP}}$  is not integral.

 $\Rightarrow$  if G contains a Berge-cycle, we have  $MP_G \subset MP_G^{LP}$ 

#### The standard linearization vs. the convex hull relaxation

Suppose that G is a Berge-acyclic hypergraph. Then there exists an edge ẽ of G such that ẽ ∩ {v : ∃e ∈ E(G) \ ẽ, v ∈ e} = {ṽ}, for some ṽ ∈ V(G).



 $\Rightarrow$  if G is Berge-acyclic, we have  $MP_G = MP_G^{LP}$ 

## $\gamma\text{-acyclic hypergraphs}$

- A  $\gamma$ -cycle in G is a Berge-cycle  $C = v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, \ldots, v_t, e_t, v_1$  such that  $t \geq 3$ , and the node  $v_i$  belongs to  $e_{i-1}$ ,  $e_i$  and no other  $e_j$ , for all  $i = 2, \ldots, t$ .
- A hypergraph is called  $\gamma$ -acyclic if it contains no  $\gamma$ -cycles.





No  $\gamma$ -cycles; Berge-cycles of length two and three.

 $\gamma$ -cycle:  $C = v_1, e_{12}, v_2, e_{123}, v_3, e_{13}, v_1$ 



A  $\gamma$ -acyclic hypergraph

## $\gamma\text{-}\mathrm{acyclicity}$ and laminarity

- Given G = (V, E) and  $\overline{V} \subseteq V$ , the subhypergraph of G induced by  $\overline{V}$  is  $G_{\overline{V}}$  with node set  $\overline{V}$  and with edge set  $\{e \cap \overline{V} : e \in E, |e \cap \overline{V}| \ge 2\}$ .
- A hypergraph G is laminar, if for any e<sub>1</sub>, e<sub>2</sub> ∈ E, one of the following is satisfied:
  (i) e<sub>1</sub> ∩ e<sub>2</sub> = Ø, (ii) e<sub>1</sub> ⊂ e<sub>2</sub>, (iii) e<sub>2</sub> ⊂ e<sub>1</sub>.



• Let G = (V, E) be a  $\gamma$ -acyclic hypergraph, and let  $e \in E$ . Then the subhypergraph  $G_e$  is laminar.

#### **Flower inequalities**

• Let  $e_0 \in E$  and let  $e_k$ ,  $k \in K$ , be the set of all edges adjacent to  $e_0$ . Let  $T \subseteq K$  such that

$$\left| (e_0 \cap e_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j \in T \setminus \{i\}} (e_0 \cap e_j) \right| \ge 2, \quad \forall i \in T.$$
(1)

• The flower inequality centered at  $e_0$  with neighbors  $e_k$ ,  $k \in T$  is:

$$\sum_{v \in e_0 \setminus \bigcup_{k \in T} e_k} z_v + \sum_{k \in T} z_{e_k} - z_{e_0} \le |e_0 \setminus \bigcup_{k \in T} e_k| + |T| - 1.$$

 We refer to the flower inequalities for all nonempty T ⊆ K satisfying (1), as the system of flower inequalities centered at e<sub>0</sub>. The flower relaxation MP<sup>F</sup><sub>G</sub> is the polytope obtained by adding the system of flower inequalities centered at each edge of G to MP<sup>LP</sup><sub>G</sub>.



$$\begin{aligned} z_1 + z_4 + z_5 + z_6 + z_{e_1} - z_{e_0} &\leq 4 \\ z_1 + z_5 + z_6 + z_{e_2} - z_{e_0} &\leq 3, \\ z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4 + z_{e_3} - z_{e_0} &\leq 4, \\ z_1 + z_4 + z_{e_1} + z_{e_3} - z_{e_0} &\leq 3, \ z_1 + z_{e_2} + z_{e_3} - z_{e_0} &\leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

## A sufficient condition for decomposability of multilinear sets

- Given  $V' \subset V$ , the section hypergraph of G induced by V' is G' = (V', E'), where  $E' = \{e \in E : e \subseteq V'\}$ . Given  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ , we denote by  $G_1 \cup G_2$ , the hypergraph  $(V_1 \cup V_2, E_1 \cup E_2)$ .
- Let  $G_1, G_2$  be section hypergraphs of G such that  $G_1 \cup G_2 = G$ . We say that  $S_G$  is decomposable into  $S_{G_1}$  and  $S_{G_2}$ , if

$$\operatorname{conv}\mathcal{S}_G = \operatorname{conv}\mathcal{S}_{G_1} \cap \operatorname{conv}\mathcal{S}_{G_2}.$$

• Theorem: Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be section hypergraphs of G such that  $G_1 \cup G_2 = G$ . Suppose that  $\bar{p} := V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) \in V(G) \cup E(G)$ , and that for every edge e of G containing nodes in  $V(G_1) \setminus V(G_2)$  either  $e \supset \bar{p}$ , or  $e \cap \bar{p} = \emptyset$ . Then  $S_G$  is decomposable into  $S_{G_1}$  and  $S_{G_2}$ .



#### The flower relaxation vs. the convex hull relaxation

• Theorem:  $MP_G^F = MP_G$  if and only if G is a  $\gamma$ -acyclic hypergraph.

 Proof sketch: If G has a γ-cycle, then after fixing z<sub>v</sub> = 1 for all v in certain subset V' ⊂ V(G), we either obtain a chordless cycle or one of the following structures:



$$-z_1 + z_{12} + z_{13} - z_{123} \le 0$$

 $\Rightarrow$  if G contains a  $\gamma$ -cycle, we have  $\mathsf{MP}_G \subset \mathsf{MP}_G^F$ 

#### The flower relaxation vs. the convex hull relaxation

• Suppose that G is  $\gamma$ -acyclic and that G has at least two maximal edges. Consider a maximal edge e' of G, and define E' to be the set of edges contained in e', and  $\overline{V} := e' \cap (\bigcup_{e \in E \setminus E'} e)$ . Then e' is a leaf of G, if  $\overline{V} \subset \tilde{e}$  for some  $\tilde{e} \in E \setminus E'$ . We claim that G contains a leaf.



 $\Rightarrow$  G decomposes into a collection of laminar hypergraphs

#### The flower relaxation vs. the convex hull relaxation

Theorem: Let G = (V, E) be a laminar hypergraph. Given an edge e ∈ E, we define I(e) := {p ∈ V ∪ E : p ⊂ e, p ⊄ e', for e' ∈ E, e' ⊂ e}. Then MP<sub>G</sub> is described by the following system:

 $\begin{aligned} z_v &\leq 1 & \forall v \in V \\ -z_e &\leq 0 & \forall e \in E \text{ such that } e \not\subset f, \text{ for } f \in E \\ -z_p + z_e &\leq 0 & \forall e \in E, \ \forall p \in I(e) \\ \sum_{p \in I(e)} z_p - z_e &\leq |I(e)| - 1 & \forall e \in E. \end{aligned}$ 

- Our proof relies on a fundamental result due to Conforti and Cornuéjols regarding the connection between integral polyhedra and balanced matrices.
- For a  $\gamma$ -acyclic hypergraph G, the multilinear polytope has a polynomial-size extended formulation.
- Applying Fourier-Motzkin elimination to project out auxiliary edges, it follows that for a  $\gamma$ -acyclic G, we have  $MP_G = MP_G^F$ .

## $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraphs

- A  $\beta$ -cycle in G is a  $\gamma$ -cycle  $C = v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, \ldots, v_t, e_t, v_1$  such that the node  $v_1$  belongs to  $e_1$ ,  $e_t$  and no other  $e_j$ .
- A hypergraph is called  $\beta$ -acyclic if it contains no  $\beta$ -cycles.



A  $\beta\text{-acyclic}$  hypergraph

#### **Running intersection inequalities**

• A multiset F of subsets of a finite set V has the running intersection property if there exists an ordering  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m$  of the sets in F such that

$$\forall k \in \{2, \dots, m\}, \exists j < k : N(p_k) := p_k \cap \left(\bigcup_{i < k} p_i\right) \subseteq p_j.$$

We refer to such an ordering as a running intersection ordering of F.

• Let  $e_0$  and  $e_k$ ,  $k \in K$ , be a collection of edges adjacent to  $e_0$  such that  $\tilde{E} := \{e_0 \cap e_k : k \in K\}$  has the running intersection property. Consider a running intersection ordering of  $\tilde{E}$ . For each  $k \in K$ , let  $w_k \subseteq N(e_0 \cap e_k)$  such that  $w_k \in \emptyset \cup V \cup E$ . We define a running intersection inequality centered at  $e_0$  with neighbours  $e_k$ ,  $k \in K$  as

$$-\sum_{k\in K} z_{w_k} + \sum_{v\in e_0\setminus\bigcup_{k\in K} e_k} z_v + \sum_{k\in K} z_{e_k} - z_{e_0} \le \omega - 1,$$

where we define  $z_{\emptyset} = 0$ , and  $\omega = \left| \{k \in K : w_k = \emptyset\} \right| + \left| \{e_0 \setminus \bigcup_{k \in K} e_k\} \right|.$ 

### **Running intersection inequalities**

• Letting  $w_k = \emptyset$  for all  $k \in K$ , running intersection inequalities simplify to flower inequalities.



 $\begin{aligned} -z_{v_5} - z_{v_7} - z_{v_8} + z_{v_1} + z_{v_2} + z_{e_2} + z_{e_3} + z_{e_6} + z_{e_7} + z_{e_8} - z_{e_0} &\leq 3, \\ -z_{v_5} - z_{v_7} - z_{v_9} + z_{v_1} + z_{v_2} + z_{e_2} + z_{e_3} + z_{e_6} + z_{e_7} + z_{e_8} - z_{e_0} &\leq 3, \\ -2z_{v_4} + z_{v_2} + z_{v_3} + z_{e_1} + z_{e_2} + z_{e_4} + z_{e_5} + z_{e_8} - z_{e_0} &\leq 4 \end{aligned}$ 

• Any running intersection ordering of  $\tilde{E}$  leads to the same system of running intersection inequalities centered at  $e_0$  with neighbors  $e_k$ ,  $k \in K$ .

## The running intersection relaxation

- The running intersection relaxation  $MP_G^{RI}$  is the polytope obtained by adding to  $MP_G^{LP}$  all possible running intersection inequalities for  $S_G$ .
- If  $MP_G$  is not  $\beta$ -acyclic, then  $MP_G \subset MP_G^{RI}$ .
- Let G be a  $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraph. Suppose that there exist no three edges  $e_0, e_1, e_2 \in E$  such that  $|e_0 \cap e_1 \cap e_2| \geq 2$ ,  $(e_0 \cap e_1) \setminus e_2 \neq \emptyset$ , and  $(e_0 \cap e_2) \setminus e_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathsf{MP}_G = \mathsf{MP}_G^{\mathrm{RI}}$ .





 $-z_1 + z_{123} + z_{124} + z_{135} - z_{1234} - z_{1235} \le 0$ 

## Separation of flower and running intersection inequalities

- Even for a  $\gamma$ -acyclic hypergraph G = (V, E), the number of facets of  $MP_G^F$  may not be bounded by a polynomial in |V|, |E|.
- Given a rank- $r \gamma$ -acyclic hypergraph G = (V, E), the separation problem over all flower inequalities can be solved in  $O(r|E|^2(|V| + |E|))$  operations.
- The separation problem for flower inequalities over general hypergraphs is NP-hard (reduction from 3D matching).
- The separation problem for running intersection inequalities for fixed-rank hypergraphs can be solved in polynomial-time. More precisely, in  $O(|E|(r2^r|E| + 2^{r^2}r^3))$  operations.

### **Numerical Experiments**

- We characterize each problem by its degree (d), number of variables (n), number of constraints (q), and density  $(\nu)$ .
- Polynomial problems of degree 3 with

 $(n,\nu) \in \{(10,0.75), (15,0.25), (15,0.15), (20,0.1), (20,0.05)\},\$ 

and multilinear problems of degree 3 with

 $(n,\nu) \in \{(10,1.0), (15,0.5), (20,0.15), (20,0.1), (25,0.05), (30,0.02)\}.$ 

• Polynomial problems of degree 4 with

 $(n, \nu) \in \{(10, 0.25), (10, 0.15), (15, 0.05), (15, 0.02), (20, 0.01)\},\$ 

and multilinear problems of degree 4 with

 $(n,\nu) \in \{(10,1.0), (15,0.15), (20,0.02), (20,0.01), (25,0.01), (25,0.005)\}.$ 

- In both sets, we let q ∈ {0, n/5, n/2, n}. For each combination, 5 random instance are generated.
- Relative/absolute optimality tolerance  $= 10^{-6}$  and time limit = 500s.

## 220 polynomial optimization problems of degree three



• Average reductions of 60% in CPU time, 78% in number of nodes, and 70% in maximum number of nodes in memory.

## 220 polynomial optimization problems of degree four



• Average reductions of 43% in CPU time, 76% in number of nodes, and 72% in maximum number of nodes in memory.

#### **Numerical Experiments – computer vision instances**

- The purpose of image restoration is to estimate the original image from the degraded data. An image is modeled as a  $l \times h$  matrix where each binary element  $x_{ij}$  represents a pixel.
- The image restoration problem is defined as the objective function f(x) = H(x) + L(x) to be minimized, where H(x) is linear and models similarity between the input blurred image and the output, L(x) is a multilinear function of degree four and models smoothness.
- Test set taken from [CramaRodrigez16] with images sizes  $\{10 \times 10\}$ ,  $\{10 \times 15\}$ ,  $\{15 \times 15\}$ .

Effect of adding cuts	CPU time	Iterations	Nodes
Better by a factor at least 2	17 (38%)	10 (23%)	10 (23%)
Between 30% and 100% better	13 (30%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Difference smaller than 30%	14 (32%)	34 (77%)	34 (77%)
Between 30% and 100% worse	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Worse by a factor of at least 2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

• Average reductions of 63% in CPU time, 42% in number of iterations, and 30% in maximum number of nodes in memory.

## What about the multilinear polytope of $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraphs?

- From a computational perspective, sparsity is key to the effectiveness of cutting planes in a branch-and-cut framework.
- For a rank r hypergraph, flower inequalities contain at most  $\frac{r}{2}$  nonzero coefficients, and running intersection inequalities contain at most 2(r-1) nonzero coefficients.
- For  $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraphs, MP<sub>G</sub> may contain dense facet-defining inequalities with  $\theta(|E|)$  nonzero coefficients.
- In practice, we almost always have  $r \ll |E|$ .

#### **Example**



• Let  $n \geq 2$  and consider the  $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraph G = (V, E) with  $V = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} V^i$ ,  $E = H \cup \bigcup_{i \in [n]} E^i$ , where  $V^1 = \{v_3^1, v_4^1, v_7^1, v_8^1\}$ ,  $V^i = \{v_1^i, \cdots, v_8^i\}$  for all  $i \in [n-1] \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $V^n = \{v_1^n, v_2^n, v_5^n, v_6^n\}$ ,

$$\begin{split} H &= \Big\{ \{v_3^i, v_4^i, v_1^{i+1}, v_2^{i+1}\}, \ i \in [n-1] \Big\} \\ E^1 &= \Big\{ \{v_3^1, v_4^1, v_7^1\}, \{v_3^1, v_4^1, v_8^1\}, V^1 \Big\} \\ E^i &= \Big\{ \{v_1^i, v_2^i, v_5^i\}, \{v_1^i, v_2^i, v_6^i\}, \{v_3^i, v_4^i, v_7^i\}, \{v_3^i, v_4^i, v_8^i\}, V^i \Big\}, \quad \forall i \in [n-1] \setminus \{1\} \\ E^n &= \Big\{ \{v_1^n, v_2^n, v_5^n\}, \{v_1^n, v_2^n, v_6^n\}, V^n \Big\}. \end{split}$$

• Then the following inequality containing |E| nonzero coefficients defines a facet of MP<sub>G</sub>:

$$-\sum_{i\in[n]} z_{V^i} - \sum_{e\in H} z_e + \sum_{i\in[n]} \sum_{e\in E^i\setminus\{V^i\}} z_e \le 2n-3.$$

## The multilinear polytope of acyclic hypergraphs

- The multilinear polytope of Berge-acyclic hypergraphs is the standard linearization; polynomial-size description: |V|+|E| variables and |V|+(r+2)|E| inequalities.
- The multilinear polytope of  $\gamma$ -acyclic hypergraphs is the flower relaxation; polynomial-size extended formulation: at most |V| + 2|E| variables (|E| additional variables) and |V| + (r+2)|E| inequalities.
- The multilinear polytope of kite-free  $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraphs is the running intersection relaxation; polynomial-size extended formulation: at most |V|+2|E| variables (|E| additional variables) and |V| + (r+2)|E| inequalities.
- The multilinear polytope of  $\alpha$ -acyclic hypergraphs does not admit a polynomial-size extended formulation unless P = NP
- Does the multilinear polytope of  $\beta$ -acyclic admit a polynomial-size extended formulation?

## The multilinear polytope of $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraphs

- Theorem: Let G = (V, E) be a  $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraph of rank r. Then there exists an extended formulation of MP<sub>G</sub> comprising of at most (3r-4)|V|+4|E| inequalities, with at most (r-2)|V| extended variables.
- Fewer inequalities than the standard linearization for  $\beta\mbox{-acyclic hypergraphs}$  with  $|E|\geq 3|V|$
- The inequalities defining the extended formulation are very sparse: they contain at most four variables with non-zero coefficients.

#### $\beta$ -acyclicity and nest points

- A node v ∈ V is a nest point of G if the set of the edges of G containing v can be ordered so that e<sub>1</sub> ⊂ e<sub>2</sub> ⊂ · · · ⊂ e<sub>k</sub>.
- We define the hypergraph obtained from G = (V, E) by removing a node  $v \in V$  as G v := (V', E'), where  $V' := V \setminus \{v\}$  and  $E' := \{e \setminus \{v\} : e \in E, |e \setminus \{v\}| \ge 2\}$ .
- A nest point sequence of length s for some  $s \leq |V|$  of G is an ordering  $v_1, \dots, v_s$  of s distinct nodes of G, such that  $v_1$  is a nest point of G,  $v_2$  is a nest point of  $G v_1$ , and so on
- Theorem: A hypergraph G = (V, E) is  $\beta$ -acyclic if and only if it has a nest point sequence of length |V|.
- Let  $v_1, \dots, v_s$  be a nest point sequence of G. The expansion of G (w.r.t.  $v_1, \dots, v_s$ ) is the hypergraph G' = (V, E'), where E' is obtained from E by adding, for each  $e \in E$ , the sets of cardinality at least two among  $e \setminus \{v_1\}$ ,  $e \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}, \dots, e \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$ .

#### **The Extended formulation**

• Theorem: Let G = (V, E) be a  $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraph expanded w.r.t.  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ . For every  $e \in E$ , denote by v(e) the first node in the sequence  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  contained in e, and define  $p(e) := e \setminus \{v(e)\}$ . Define  $M := \{e \in E : \exists g \in E, g \subset e, v(e) \in g\}$ . For every  $e \in M$ , let  $f(e) \subset e$  be the edge of maximum cardinality with  $v(e) \in f(e)$ , and let  $f'(e) := f(e) \setminus \{v(e)\}$ . Denote by  $\overline{E}$  the set of maximal edges of G. Then, MP<sub>G</sub> is defined by:

$$0 \leq z_u \leq 1, \quad \forall u \in V, \quad z_e \geq 0, \quad \forall e \in E$$
$$z_e - z_{p(e)} \leq 0, \quad \forall e \in E$$
$$z_e - z_{f(e)} \leq 0, \quad -z_{f'(e)} + z_{p(e)} + z_{f(e)} - z_e \leq 0, \quad \forall e \in M$$
$$z_e - z_{v(e)} \leq 0, \quad z_{v(e)} + z_{p(e)} - z_e \leq 1, \quad \forall e \in E \setminus M.$$



## Recap

- Goal: constructing strong and cheap polyhedral relaxations for multilinear sets
- The standard linearization coincides with the multilinear polytope of Bergeacyclic hypergraphs, very weak relaxations in general
- Flower relaxation gives the multilinear polytope of  $\gamma$ -acyclic hypergraphs
- Running intersection inequalities dominate flower inequalities when the neighbours intersect and satisfy the running intersection property
- A very simple compact extended formulation for the multilinear polytope of β-acyclic hypergraphs, but no characterization in the original space

## References

- A. Del Pia and A. Khajavirad. A polyhedral study of binary polynomial programs. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 2017.
- A. Del Pia and A. Khajavirad. On decomposability of multilinear sets. *Mathematical Programming*, 2018.
- A. Del Pia and A. Khajavirad. The multilinear polytope for acyclic hypergraphs, *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 2018.
- A. Del Pia and A. Khajavirad. The running intersection relaxation of the multilinear polytope, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 2021.
- A. Del Pia, A. Khajavirad, and N. V. Sahinidis. On the impact of runningintersection inequalities for globally solving polynomial optimization problems, *Mathematical Programming Computation*, 2020.
- A. Del Pia and A. Khajavirad. The multilinear polytope of beta-acyclic hypergraphs has polynomial extension complexity, *arXiv:2212.11239*, 2022.

# Other results on the facial structure of the multilinear polytope

- A. Del Pia and S. Di Gregorio, Chvatal rank in binary polynomial optimization, INFORMS Journal on Optimization 3(4) 315-349 (2021)
  - Running intersection inequalities are CG cuts for the standard linearization  $\mathsf{MP}^{\mathrm{LP}}_G$
  - For kite-free  $\beta$ -acyclic hypergraphs, the polytope MP<sup>LP</sup><sub>G</sub> has Chvatal rank 1.
- A. Del Pia and M. Walter, Simple odd  $\beta$ -cycle inequalities for binary polynomial optimization, Proceedings of IPCO 2022 LNCS 13265 181-194 (2022)
  - Odd  $\beta$ -cycle inequalities: when added to the flower relaxation, they give the multilinear polytope of a cycle hypergraph
  - G = (V, E), with  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ , where  $m \ge 3$  is a cycle hypergraph, if every edge  $e_i$  has nonempty intersection only with  $e_{i-1}$  and  $e_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . When m = 3, it is also required that  $e_1 \cap e_2 \cap e_3 = \emptyset$ .
  - Odd  $\beta$ -cycle inequalities have Chvatal rank 2.
  - Odd  $\beta$ -cycle inequalities can be separated in strongly polynomial time

## Some open questions

- What is the first CG closure of  $MP_G^{LP}$ ?
  - For BQP, adding the triangle inequalities to the standard linearization gives the first CG closure.
- What "the RLT level" of flower inequalities or running intersection inequalities?
- What is "the SoS level" of flower inequalities or running intersection inequalities?
- Other classes of acyclic hypergraphs between  $\beta\text{-acyclic}$  and  $\alpha\text{-acyclic}$  with polytime complexity.

## **Comparison with recursive McCormick relaxations**

• A. Khajavirad, On the strength of recursive McCormick relaxations for binary polynomial optimization, Operations Research Letters, 2023.