Arc connectivity and submodular flows in digraphs

Ahmad Abdi, Gérard Cornuéjols, and Giacomo Zambelli

London School of Economics and Political Science

Combinatorics and Optimization Workshop May 27-31, 2023 ICERM, Brown University¹

¹Paper available on the speaker's website

- Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.
- Let $k \ge 1$ be an integer.
- A k-arc-connected flip is a J ⊆ A such that (D \ J) ∪ J⁻¹ is (strongly) k-arc-connected. That is,

U

$$|J \cap \delta^+(U)| + |\delta^-(U)| - |J \cap \delta^-(U)| \ge k \qquad orall U \subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset.$$



- Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.
- Let $k \ge 1$ be an integer.
- A k-arc-connected flip is a J ⊆ A such that (D \ J) ∪ J⁻¹ is (strongly) k-arc-connected. That is,



- Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.
- Let $k \ge 1$ be an integer.
- A k-arc-connected flip is a J ⊆ A such that (D \ J) ∪ J⁻¹ is (strongly) k-arc-connected. That is,

$$|J\cap \delta^+(U)|+|\delta^-(U)|-|J\cap \delta^-(U)|\geq k \qquad orall U\subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset.$$

• A 2-arc-connected flip:



Weak Orientation Theorem

An important theorem in Graph Orientations and Submodular Optimization:

Theorem 1 (Nash-Williams '69)

If the underlying graph of D is 2k-edge-connected, then D has a k-arc-connected flip.



Weak Orientation Theorem

An important theorem in Graph Orientations and Submodular Optimization:

Theorem 1 (Nash-Williams '69)

If the underlying graph of D is 2k-edge-connected, then D has a k-arc-connected flip.

Flash summary of the talk

- We extend Theorem 1 by finding a *k*-arc-connected flip whose incidence vector is also a submodular flow.
- This is made possible by finding capacitated integral solutions to the intersection of two submodular flow systems.

Woodall's conjecture

Dicuts

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.

Definition

A dicut is a subset of the form $\delta^+(U) \subseteq A$ where $U \neq \emptyset$, V and $\delta^-(U) = \emptyset$.



Remark

D has a dicut $\Leftrightarrow D$ is not strongly connected.

Ahmad Abdi, Gérard Cornuéjols, and Giacomo Zambelli

Arc connectivity and submodular flows in digraphs

Dijoins

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.

Definition

A dijoin is a $J \subseteq A$ that intersects every dicut at least once, i.e. D/J is 1-arc-connected.



Remark

J is a dijoin $\Leftrightarrow D \cup J^{-1}$ is 1-arc-connected.

Arc connectivity and submodular flows in digraphs

Packing dijoins

Remark

Minimum size of a dicut \geq maximum number of pairwise arc disjoint dijoins.

min = 4max = 4



Packing dijoins

Remark

Minimum size of a dicut \geq maximum number of pairwise arc disjoint dijoins.

Conjecture 1 (Woodall 1978)

Minimum size of a dicut = maximum number of pairwise arc disjoint dijoins.

- **9** Frank and Tardos 1984: Formulation as a common base packing problem for two matroids.
- **2** Schrijver 1980, Feofiloff and Younger 1987: Proved for source-sink connected digraphs.
- See and Wakabayashi 2001: Proved for series-parallel digraphs.
- Mészáros 2018: Proved for digraphs that are $(\tau 1, 1)$ -partition-connected for τ a prime power.
- **3** A., Cornuéjols and Zlatin 2022+: Reduced to nearly- τ -regular bipartite graphs.

Other interesting results by Cornuéjols and Guenin (2002), Shepherd and Vetta (2005), Lee and Williams (2006), Chudnovsky, Edwards, Kim, Scott, and Seymour (2016)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.

Definition

For an integer $k \ge 1$, a k-dijoin is an arc subset that intersects every dicut at least k times.



Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.

Definition

For an integer $k \ge 1$, a k-dijoin is an arc subset that intersects every dicut at least k times.

Proposition

The following statements hold:

① The union of a disjoint k-dijoin and ℓ -dijoin is a $(k + \ell)$ -dijoin.

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.

Definition

For an integer $k \ge 1$, a k-dijoin is an arc subset that intersects every dicut at least k times.

Proposition

The following statements hold:

3 The union of a disjoint k-dijoin and ℓ -dijoin is a $(k + \ell)$ -dijoin.

2 Every k-arc-connected flip J is a k-dijoin.

Proof of 2. Let J be a k-arc-connected flip. For every dicut $\delta^+(U)$,

$$|J \cap \delta^+(U)| = |J \cap \delta^+(U)| + |\delta^-(U)| - |J \cap \delta^-(U)| \ge k.$$

Thus, J is a k-dijoin.

Decomposing into a k- and $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin

Suppose every dicut of D = (V, A) has size $\geq \tau$, for some integer $\tau \geq 2$.

Conjecture 1 (Woodall 1978)

A can be partitioned into τ dijoins.

A weaker conjecture:

Conjecture 2 ("Weak Woodall")

A can be partitioned into a k- and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, \tau - 1\}$.

Decomposing into a k- and $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin

Suppose every dicut of D = (V, A) has size $\geq \tau$, for some integer $\tau \geq 2$.

Conjecture 1 (Woodall 1978)

A can be partitioned into τ dijoins.

A weaker conjecture:

Conjecture 2 ("Weak Woodall")

A can be partitioned into a k- and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, \tau - 1\}$.

Theorem 2 (A., Cornuéjols, Zlatin '22+)

A can be partitioned into a dijoin and a (au-1)-dijoin.

Summary

Theorem 1 (Nash-Williams '69)

If the underlying graph of D is 2k-edge-connected, then D has a k-arc-connected flip.

Theorem 2 (A., Cornuéjols, Zlatin '22+)

If every dicut of D = (V, A) has size $\geq \tau$, then A can be partitioned into a dijoin and a $(\tau - 1)$ -dijoin.

Remark

A k-arc-connected flip is a k-dijoin.

A common extension of Theorems 1 and 2

Theorem 3 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph such that for some integers $au - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ we have

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au \qquad orall U \subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset.$$

Then A can be partitioned into a k-arc-connected flip and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin.



Theorem 3 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph such that for some integers $au - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ we have

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au \qquad orall U \subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset.$$

Then A can be partitioned into a k-arc-connected flip and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin.

For $\tau = 2k$ we recover:

Theorem 1 (Nash-Williams '69)

If the underlying graph of D is 2k-edge-connected, then D has a k-arc-connected flip.

Theorem 3 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph such that for some integers $au - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ we have

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au \qquad orall U \subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset.$$

Then A can be partitioned into a k-arc-connected flip and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin.

For k = 1 we recover:

Theorem 2 (A., Cornuéjols, Zlatin '22+) If every dicut of D = (V, A) has size $\geq \tau$, then A can be partitioned into a dijoin and a $(\tau - 1)$ -dijoin.

Theorem 3 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph such that for some integers $au - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ we have

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au \qquad orall U \subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset.$$

Then A can be partitioned into a k-arc-connected flip and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin.

"Weak Woodall" is true for τ -edge-connected instances:

Theorem (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Suppose the underlying graph of D = (V, A) is τ -edge-connected. Then A can be partitioned into a k- and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin, for all $k \in \{1, ..., \tau - 1\}$.

Theorem 3 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph such that for some integers $au - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ we have

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au \qquad orall U \subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset.$$

Then A can be partitioned into a k-arc-connected flip and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin.

Theorem (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Suppose the underlying graph of D = (V, A) is τ -edge-connected. Then A can be partitioned into a k- and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin, for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, \tau - 1\}$.

Proof. We may assume $\tau \geq 2k$. The cut condition holds:

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq |\delta^+(U)| + |\delta^-(U)| \geq au \qquad orall U \subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 3 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph such that for some integers $au - 1 \geq k \geq 1$ we have

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au \qquad orall U \subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset.$$

Then A can be partitioned into a k-arc-connected flip and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin.

Let's prove this theorem. We need two ingredients.

Ingredients

Crossing families and submodular functions

Let C be a family of subsets of V, and let $f : C \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a function.

Definition

C is a crossing family if whenever $U, W \in C$ and $U \cap W \neq \emptyset, U \cup W \neq V$, then $U \cap W, U \cup W \in C$.

Definition

f is crossing submodular if whenever $U, W \in C$ and $U \cap W \neq \emptyset, U \cup W \neq V$, then $f(U \cap W) + f(U \cup W) \leq f(U) + f(W)$.



Crossing families and submodular functions

Definition

C is a crossing family if whenever $U, W \in C$ and $U \cap W \neq \emptyset, U \cup W \neq V$, then $U \cap W, U \cup W \in C$.

Definition

f is crossing submodular if whenever $U, W \in C$ and $U \cap W \neq \emptyset, U \cup W \neq V$, then $f(U \cap W) + f(U \cup W) \leq f(U) + f(W)$.

Examples

- $\{U \subsetneq V : U \neq \emptyset\}$
- $\{U \subsetneq V : U \neq \emptyset, \delta^{-}(U) = \emptyset\}$
- $f(U) := |\delta^+(U)|$
- $f(U) := |\delta^+(U)| \alpha$

Ingredient 1 from Submodular Optimization

Theorem (Edmonds, Frank, Fujishige; see Schrijver 2003, Frank 2011) Let $f_i : C_i \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a crossing submodular function, for i = 1, 2. Then

$$egin{aligned} & x \in \mathbb{R}^V \ & 1^ op x = 0 \ & \swarrow U \ & \vdots = & \sum_{v \in U} x_v \leq f_1(U) \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{C}_1 \ & \sum_{v \in U} x_v \leq f_2(U) \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{C}_2 \end{aligned}$$

is box-totally dual integral, and therefore box-integral. In particular, if it has a fractional solution, it has an integral solution.

Ingredient 2 from Network Flows

Let
$$D = (V, A)$$
 be a digraph, and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^V$ s.t. $1^\top b = 0$.

Definition

A *b*-transshipment is a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^A$ s.t.

 $y(\delta^+(u)) - y(\delta^-(u)) = b_u \qquad \forall u \in V.$





Ingredient 2 from Network Flows

Let
$$D = (V, A)$$
 be a digraph, and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^V$ s.t. $1^\top b = 0$.

Definition

A *b*-transshipment is a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^A$ s.t.

$$y(\delta^+(u)) - y(\delta^-(u)) = b_u \qquad \forall u \in V.$$

Theorem (Hoffman, Gale; see Schrijver 2003) Take $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^A$ with $c \leq d$ such that

$$b(U) \leq d(\delta^+(U)) - c(\delta^-(U)) \qquad orall U
eq \emptyset, V.$$

Then there exists a *b*-transshipment $y^* \in \mathbb{Z}^A$ such that $c \leq y^* \leq d$.

Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 3 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

A can be decomposed into a k-arc-connected flip and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin if for every $\emptyset \neq U \subsetneq V$,

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au.$$



Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 3 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

A can be decomposed into a k-arc-connected flip and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin if for every $\emptyset \neq U \subsetneq V$,

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au.$$

Proof. We need to find a 0, 1 vector y such that

- $y(\delta^+(U)) y(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| k$ for every $\emptyset \ne U \subsetneq V$,
- $y(\delta^+(U)) y(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| (\tau k)$ for every dicut $\delta^+(U)$.

We know

•
$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au$$
 for every $\emptyset
eq U \subsetneq V$,

• Every dicut has size at least τ .

Proof. Let $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^A$ assign $\frac{k}{\tau}$ to every arc. Then

•
$$\overline{y}(\delta^+(U)) - \overline{y}(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| - k$$
 for every $\emptyset \ne U \subsetneq V$,

• $\overline{y}(\delta^+(U)) - \overline{y}(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| - (\tau - k)$ for every dicut $\delta^+(U)$.



Proof. Let $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^A$ assign $\frac{k}{\tau}$ to every arc. Then

• $\overline{y}(\delta^+(U)) - \overline{y}(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| - k$ for every $\emptyset \ne U \subsetneq V$,

•
$$\overline{y}(\delta^+(U)) - \overline{y}(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| - (\tau - k)$$
 for every dicut $\delta^+(U)$.

For each vertex v, let $\bar{x}_v := \bar{y}(\delta^+(v)) - \bar{y}(\delta^-(v))$. Then



Proof. Let $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^A$ assign $\frac{k}{\tau}$ to every arc. Then

- $\bar{y}(\delta^+(U)) \bar{y}(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| k$ for every $\emptyset \ne U \subsetneq V$,
- $\overline{y}(\delta^+(U)) \overline{y}(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| (\tau k)$ for every dicut $\delta^+(U)$.

For each vertex v, let $\bar{x}_{v} := \bar{y}(\delta^{+}(v)) - \bar{y}(\delta^{-}(v))$. Then

1.
$$1^{\top}\bar{x} = 0$$
,
2. $\bar{x}(U) \leq |\delta^+(U)| - k$ for every $\emptyset \neq U \subsetneq V$,
3. $\bar{x}(U) \leq |\delta^+(U)| - (\tau - k)$ for every dicut $\delta^+(U)$.

Consequence of Ingredient 1

We can replace \bar{x} integral!

Proof. Let $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^A$ assign $\frac{k}{\tau}$ to every arc. Then

- $\bar{y}(\delta^+(U)) \bar{y}(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| k$ for every $\emptyset \ne U \subsetneq V$,
- $\overline{y}(\delta^+(U)) \overline{y}(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| (\tau k)$ for every dicut $\delta^+(U)$.

For each vertex v, let $\bar{x}_v := \bar{y}(\delta^+(v)) - \bar{y}(\delta^-(v))$. Then

1.
$$1^{\top}\bar{x} = 0$$
,
2. $\bar{x}(U) \leq |\delta^+(U)| - k$ for every $\emptyset \neq U \subsetneq V$,
3. $\bar{x}(U) \leq |\delta^+(U)| - (\tau - k)$ for every dicut $\delta^+(U)$.

Consequence of Ingredient 1

We can replace \bar{x} integral!

But, can we make \bar{y} 0,1?



There exists $b \in \mathbb{Z}^V$ such that

1. $1^{\top}b = 0$,

- 2. $b(U) \leq |\delta^+(U)| k$ for every $\emptyset \neq U \subsetneq V$,
- 3. $b(U) \leq |\delta^+(U)| (\tau k)$ for every dicut $\delta^+(U)$

Consequence of Ingredient 2

Since $b(U) \leq |\delta^+(U)|$ for every $\emptyset \neq U \subsetneq V$, there exists a *b*-transshipment $y^* \in \{0,1\}^A$, i.e. $y^*(\delta^+(v)) - y^*(\delta^-(v)) = b_v$ for every vertex *v*.

Then,

•
$$y^*(\delta^+(U)) - y^*(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| - k$$
 for every $\emptyset \ne U \subsetneq V$,
• $y^*(\delta^+(U)) - y^*(\delta^-(U)) \le |\delta^+(U)| - (\tau - k)$ for every dicut $\delta^+(U)$,

as required.



Discussion

The proof found a 0,1 solution to

$$egin{aligned} &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq |\delta^+(U)|-k &orall \ \psi
eq U \subsetneq V \ &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq |\delta^+(U)|-(au-k) & orall \ ext{dicut} \ \delta^+(U), \end{aligned}$$

the intersection of two "submodular flow" systems. This is surprising...

Discussion

The proof found a 0,1 solution to

$$egin{aligned} &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq |\delta^+(U)|-k \quad orall \ \emptyset
eq U \subsetneq V \ &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq |\delta^+(U)|-(au-k) \quad orall \ ext{dicut} \ \delta^+(U) \end{aligned}$$

the intersection of two "submodular flow" systems. This is surprising...

Folklore facts

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph, and let $f_i : C_i \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a crossing submodular function, for i = 1, 2. Consider the system

$$egin{aligned} & y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{A}} \ & y(\delta^+(U)) - y(\delta^-(U)) \leq f_1(U) \quad orall U \in \mathcal{C}_1 \ & y(\delta^+(U)) - y(\delta^-(U)) \leq f_2(U) \quad orall U \in \mathcal{C}_2. \end{aligned}$$

This system is not necessarily integral, let alone box-totally dual integral. Moreover, finding a 0,1 solution to this system is an NP-hard task.

Digging a little deeper

A more powerful theorem

Theorem 4 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+) Let D = (V, A) be a digraph, and τ, k integers with $\tau - 1 \ge k \ge 1$. Suppose the system

$$egin{aligned} &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq |\delta^+(U)|-k & orall U\subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset \ &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f(U) & orall U\in \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied at $\bar{y} = \frac{k}{\tau} 1$. Then the system has a 0,1 solution.

A more powerful theorem

Theorem 4 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph, and τ, k integers with $\tau - 1 \ge k \ge 1$. Suppose the system

$$egin{aligned} &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq |\delta^+(U)|-k & orall U\subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset \ &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f(U) & orall U\in \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied at $\bar{y} = \frac{k}{\tau} 1$. Then the system has a 0,1 solution.

For $f(U) := |\delta^+(U)| - (\tau - k)$ defined on every dicut $\delta^+(U)$, this gives

Theorem 3 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

A can be decomposed into a k-arc-connected flip and a $(\tau - k)$ -dijoin if for every $\emptyset \neq U \subsetneq V$,

$$|\delta^+(U)| + \left(rac{ au}{k} - 1
ight) |\delta^-(U)| \geq au.$$

For $\tau = 2k$, Theorem 4 gives

Theorem (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph whose underlying graph is 2k-edge-connected. Let $f : C \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a crossing submodular function such that

$$\mathbb{P}(U) \geq rac{1}{2}(|\delta^+(U)| - |\delta^-(U)|) \qquad orall U \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Then there is a *k*-arc-connected flip $J \subseteq A$ such that

 $f(U) \ge |J \cap \delta^+(U)| - |J \cap \delta^-(U)| \qquad \forall U \in \mathcal{C}.$

For $\tau = 2k$, Theorem 4 gives

Theorem (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph whose underlying graph is 2k-edge-connected. Let $f : C \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a crossing submodular function such that

$$\nabla(U) \geq rac{1}{2}(|\delta^+(U)| - |\delta^-(U)|) \qquad orall U \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Then there is a k-arc-connected flip $J \subseteq A$ such that

$$f(U) \ge |J \cap \delta^+(U)| - |J \cap \delta^-(U)| \qquad orall U \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Corollary (Nash-Williams '69)

Every 2k-edge-connected graph has a k-arc-connected orientation such that at every node, the out- and in-degrees differ by at most one.

Theorem 4 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph, and τ, k integers with $\tau - 1 \ge k \ge 1$. Suppose the system

$$egin{aligned} &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq |\delta^+(U)|-k & orall U\subsetneq V, U
eq \emptyset \ &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f(U) & orall U\in \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied at $\bar{y} = \frac{k}{\tau} 1$. Then the system has a 0,1 solution.

- This theorem is also useful for packing dijoins in 0, 1-weighted digraphs when the weight-1 arcs form a weakly connected set.
- It relates to a conjecture of Chudnovsky, Edwards, Kim, Scott, and Seymour (2016).

A surprising phenomenon

Intersection of two submodular flow systems

- Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.
- Let $f_i : C_i \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a crossing submodular function, for i = 1, 2.

Theorem 5 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

• Suppose $\min_{i=1,2} f_i(U) \leq 0$ for all $U \neq \emptyset$, V s.t. $\delta^+(U) = \delta^-(U) = \emptyset$. Then

$$egin{aligned} &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_1(U) &orall U\in \mathcal{C}_1 \ &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_2(U) &orall U\in \mathcal{C}_2 \end{aligned}$$

is totally dual integral, and hence integral.

Intersection of two submodular flow systems

- Let D = (V, A) be a digraph.
- Let $f_i : C_i \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a crossing submodular function, for i = 1, 2.

Theorem 5 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

• Suppose $\min_{i=1,2} f_i(U) \leq 0$ for all $U \neq \emptyset$, V s.t. $\delta^+(U) = \delta^-(U) = \emptyset$. Then

$$egin{aligned} &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_1(U) &orall U\in \mathcal{C}_1 \ &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_2(U) &orall U\in \mathcal{C}_2 \end{aligned}$$

is totally dual integral, and hence integral.

2 Take $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^A$ satisfying $c \leq d$ and

$$\min_{i=1,2} f_i(U) \leq d(\delta^+(U)) - c(\delta^-(U)) \qquad \forall U \neq \emptyset, V.$$

Then every nonempty face of the feasible region contains $y^* \in \mathbb{Z}^A$ with $c \leq y^* \leq d$.

Theorem 4 (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph, and τ, k integers with $\tau - 1 \ge k \ge 1$. Suppose the system

$$egin{aligned} &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq |\delta^+(U)|-k & orall U\subsetneq V, U
ot= \emptyset \ &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f(U) & orall U\in \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied at $\bar{y} = \frac{k}{\tau} 1$. Then the system has a 0,1 solution.

Theorem (Edmonds and Giles 1977)

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph, and $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{Z}$ a crossing submodular function. The system

$$y(\delta^+(U)) - y(\delta^-(U)) \le f(U) \qquad \forall U \in \mathcal{C}$$

is box-totally dual integral, and hence box-integral.

A cute application

Theorem (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+) Let D = (V, A) be a weakly connected digraph. Let $f_i : C_i \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a crossing submodular function, for i = 1, 2. Then

$$egin{aligned} & y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_1(U) \quad orall U\in \mathcal{C}_1 \ & y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_2(U) \quad orall U\in \mathcal{C}_2 \end{aligned}$$

is totally dual integral.

A cute application

Theorem (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+) Let D = (V, A) be a weakly connected digraph. Let $f_i : C_i \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a crossing submodular function, for i = 1, 2. Then

$$egin{aligned} & y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_1(U) \quad orall U\in \mathcal{C}_1 \ & y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_2(U) \quad orall U\in \mathcal{C}_2 \end{aligned}$$

is totally dual integral.

Proposition

This system is not necessarily box-integral.

A cute application

Theorem (A., Cornuéjols, Zambelli '23+) Let D = (V, A) be a weakly connected digraph. Let $f_i : C_i \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a crossing submodular function, for i = 1, 2. Then

$$egin{aligned} &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_1(U) \quad orall U\in \mathcal{C}_1 \ &y(\delta^+(U))-y(\delta^-(U))\leq f_2(U) \quad orall U\in \mathcal{C}_2 \end{aligned}$$

is totally dual integral.

Proposition

This system is not necessarily box-integral.

Wild conjecture

This system intersected with any integer box is half-integral.

Thanks!