An introduction to network analysis and modeling with applications to social contagion processes

Juan G. Restrepo University of Colorado at Boulder

> June 28, 2022 ICERM

Part I

Talk outline

Part 1

- Motivation: how are networks useful for social justice analysis?
- Basic network **properties**
- Network models
- Beyond networks

Part 2



This tutorial will review concepts covered in depth, for example, in Newman's Networks book:



Networks

Second Edition

OXFORD

Mark Newman

Talk outline

Part 1

- Motivation: how are networks useful for social justice analysis?
- Basic network **properties**
- Network models
- Beyond networks

Part 2



Networks: motivation for social justice analysis

Example: friendship network in middle and high schools: mixing by **race** and **grade** At first sight there seems to be segregation on the left school and not on the right. Is this the case, or **does it depend on how the network is drawn**?



Symbol colors represent race. Symbol shapes represent grade.

James Moody, American Journal of Sociology, v 107, Number 3, pp. 679-716 (2001).



Networks: motivation for social justice analysis

Quantifying relationships can make biases and prejudices evident Example: prestige in faculty hiring networks

RESEARCH ARTICLE

NETWORK SCIENCES

Systematic inequality and hierarchy in faculty hiring networks

Aaron Clauset,^{1,2,3}* Samuel Arbesman,⁴ Daniel B. Larremore^{5,6}

The faculty job market plays a fundamental role in shaping research priorities, educational outcomes, and career trajectories among scientists and institutions. However, a quantitative understanding of faculty hiring as a system is lacking. Using a simple technique to extract the institutional prestige ranking that best explains an observed faculty hiring network—who hires whose graduates as faculty—we present and analyze comprehensive placement data on nearly 19,000 regular faculty in three disparate disciplines. Across disciplines, we find that faculty hiring follows a common and steeply hierarchical structure that reflects profound social inequality. Furthermore, doctoral prestige alone better predicts ultimate placement than a *U.S. News & World Report* rank, women generally place worse than men, and increased institutional prestige leads to increased faculty production, better faculty placement, and a more influential position within the discipline. These results advance our ability to quantify the influence of prestige in academia and shed new light on the academic system.



Talk outline

Part 1

 \checkmark Motivation: how are networks useful for social justice analysis?

- Basic network **properties**
- Network models
- Beyond networks

Part 2



Networks: the basics

A network is a collection of **nodes** and **links**

Nodes = Star Wars characters Links = co-appearances in Star Wars movies

http://evelinag.com/blog/2015/12-15-star-wars-social-network/



Networks: representations

A network is a collection of **nodes** and **links**

Definition Precise but cumbersome

Nodes $= \{1, 2, 3\}$ Links $= \{(1,2), (1,3), (2,3)\}$





Edge list

Computationally efficient

1,2 1,3 2,3

Adjacency matrix = A

Useful for theory, inefficient when storing many zeros



Basic properties: degree and degree distribution



The **degree** of a node is the number of links it has to other nodes Degree of node $i = k_i$

The degree distribution is the fraction of nodes with degree k. Consider these two examples:





Basic properties: degree distribution

The degree distribution of real-world networks is often heavy-tailed.

twitter/instagram users or web pages)



Credit: Aaron Clauset

In practice this means there is a significant number of nodes with very high degrees (think popular

Scale-free networks

 $P(k) = Ck^{-\gamma}$

 $\log(P(k))$

$\log(P(k)) = \log(C) - \gamma \log(k)$





Mason Porter's Power Law Shop (now sadly inactive as far as I know)

http://www.cafepress.com/thepowerlawshop **I WENT TO A PHYSICS CONFERENCE AND ALL** GOT WAS A LOUSY POWER LAW. 10³ τ [seconds]

Scale-free networks - why all the fuss?

Pushing Networks to the Limit

PERSPECTIVE

Scale-Free Networks: A Decade and Beyond

Albert-László Barabási

Power laws suggest the possibility of common organizing principles

Physicists attracted to the similarities with statistical physics

The fit to power-law scaling is not statistically justified in many situations

The consensus (if there's one) is that many networks have a heavy-tailed degree distribution, and that's what matters

Article Open Access Published: 04 March 2019
Scale-free networks are rare

Anna D. Broido 🗠 & Aaron Clauset 🗠

Nature Communications10, Article number: 1017 (2019)Cite this article59k Accesses314 Citations604 AltmetricMetrics

Heavy-tailed degree distribution - moments

The qualitative behavior of processes on networks often depend strongly on the value of where $\langle k^n \rangle$ is the nth moment of the degree dis



stribution,
$$\langle k^n \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N k_i^n$$





Sometimes nodes are more likely to connect if they have similar characteristics

Perhaps the single most relevant concept in network science for social justice analysis!

Facebook users more likely to connect if they went to the same high school

Employers might want to hire people who look like them





Assortative mixing Sometimes nodes are more likely to connect if they have similar characteristics

Example: friendship network in middle and high schools: mixing by race and grade



FIG. 2.—Friendship relations in "Mountain Middle School" by race and grade. Shaded figures represent nonwhite students. Circles = seventh graders and squares = eighth graders.

James Moody, "Race, School Integration, and Friendship Segregation in America", American Journal of Sociology, v 107, Number 3, pp. 679-716 (2001).



FIG. 1.—Friendship relations in "Countryside High School" by race and grade. Shaded figures represent nonwhite students. Circles = ninth graders, squares = tenth graders, hexagons = eleventh graders, and triangles = twelfth graders.

Assortativity coefficient

How assortative is the network? How can we tell, without visualizing and eyeballing the patterns, if there is assortative mixing?

Suppose that e_{ij} is the fraction of edges connecting a node of type i to a node of type j. An assortativity coefficient can be defined as



Newman, Mark EJ. "Mixing patterns in networks." Physical review E 67.2 (2003): 026126.

where
$$a_i = \sum_j e_{ij}$$
 $b_j = \sum_i e_{ij}$

r = 0: randomly connected; r = 1: perfectly segregated

Assortativity coefficient



r = -0.68





 e_{11} = number of 1's here divided by total number of 1's

 e_{21} = number of 1's here divided by total number of 1's

Assortativity coefficient

In this example: only two categories

We know exactly which nodes belong to which category.

In general: we might want to include more categories, and data on categories might not be clear.

select all that app	
	American India
	Asian
	Black or Africa
	Hispanic, Latin
	Middle Easterr
	Native Hawaiia
	White
	Multiethnic
	Prefer not to d
	Other:





Which category describes you? Please ply: *

an or Alaska Native

an American

no or Spanish Origin

n or North African

an or Other Pacific Islander

lisclose

Example: organization with overall gender balance (50%-50%), but with assortative mixing by gender and with resources controlled by males



and with resources controlled by males



Example: organization with overall gender balance (50%-50%), but with assortative mixing by gender

Allocate resource to network neighbors

and with resource distribution controlled by males



Example: organization with overall gender balance (50%-50%), but with assortative mixing by gender

Resource allocation is unfair even if organization has 50%-50% gender balance





Relevant read

Minorities in networks and algorithms

Fariba Karimi^{1*}, Marcos Oliveira², Markus Strohmaier^{4,3,1}

https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.07113



(submitted to arXiv June 14)

Centrality Motivation: spreading processes on networks

















Many ways to define centrality

Degree centrality: the "degree centrality" of a node is its degree

Eigenvector centrality: the centrality u_i of node *i* is proportional to the sum of its neighbors' centralities

 \rightarrow The eigenvector centrality u_i of node i is proportional to the ith entry of the network's adjacency matrix eigenvector corresponding to its largest eigenvalue.

Betweenness centrality: how many shortest paths between pairs of nodes have to go through node i

$u_i = C \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} u_j$

Eigenvector centrality



Larremore, Daniel B., et al. "Statistical properties of avalanches in networks." Physical Review E 85.6 (2012): 066131.



Many ways to define centrality



https://aksakalli.github.io/2017/07/17/network-centrality-measures-and-their-visualization.html



Talk outline

Part 1

 \checkmark Motivation: how are networks useful for social justice analysis?

✓ Basic network properties

- Network models
- Beyond networks

Part 2



Social networks are big and messy

It is useful to have **null models** where we can remove complications and study the effect of separate features individually



Network of social interactions on a facebook page (nodes with degree less than 6 are not shown) Nia et al., 2012 International Conference on Social Informatics, 2012

Network models

Network models allow us to add and change properties in a **controlled** way

Some uses:

As null models: Construct a network which a desired property but otherwise random.

To explore the effect of network properties: Construct a network where a property can be adjusted.

As testbeds: Test your model of social dynamics in a simple network first.



The simplest: Erdös-Rényi network

G(N,p): Random network with N nodes and probability of connection p

Start with N nodes, create a link between every pair with probability p





No heavy-tail No community structure Easy to work with Too simple

Degree-based models - Chung-Lu model

Random network with **prescribed** degree sequence $\{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_N\}$

Start with N nodes, create a link between nodes i, j with probability



Chung, Fan, Linyuan Lu, and Van Vu. "The spectra of random graphs with given expected degrees." Internet Mathematics 1.3 (2004): 257-275.



Degree distribution can be prescribed

No community structure



Degree-based models - configuration model

Random network with **prescribed** degree sequence $\{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_N\}$ Assign stubs to each node according to their degree Match pairs of stubs randomly



Fosdick, Bailey K., et al. "Configuring random graph models with fixed degree sequences." Siam Review 60.2 (2018): 315-355.

Community structure

Nodes in networks often split into **communities**



- Brady et al. PNAS 2016

Stochastic block model

Specify communities in advance, and the create links with higher probability for nodes within the same community

Start with N nodes, create a link i, j in the same community p_{in} i, j in different community between every pair of nodes i, j with $p_{\rm out}$ probability $p_{\rm in} > p_{\rm out}$



Holland, Paul W., Kathryn Blackmond Laskey, and Samuel Leinhardt. "Stochastic blockmodels: First steps." Social networks 5.2 (1983): 109-137.



Generalized stochastic block models Specify node characteristics (race, age, community, etc) in a vector \mathbf{Z}_i Probability that two nodes are connected is a function of \mathbf{Z}_i , \mathbf{Z}_i :

$$P(A_{ij} = 1) = f(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_j)$$

Very flexible; characteristics can be: Intended nodal degree (Chung-Lu model) Community index (previous slide) Demographical metadata

Dynamical parameters (e.g., infectivity for epidemic models, frequency for oscillator networks)





Growing network models

Preferential attachment mechanism: originally proposed by Price, popularized by Barábasi-Albert Nodes join the network and connect preferentially to nodes that have a high degree already





First-mover advantage





Price, Derek J. De Solla. "Networks of scientific papers: The pattern of bibliographic references indicates the nature of the scientific research front." Science 149.3683 (1965): 510-515. Barabási, Albert-László, and Réka Albert. "Emergence of scaling in random networks." science 286.5439 (1999): 509-512.



Evolving network models

Time-evolving network models could be very relevant for social justice modeling (an example will be shown in Part II)

Example: network and opinions coevolving

Fitting in and breaking up: A nonlinear version of coevolving voter models

Yacoub H. Kureh and Mason A. Porter Phys. Rev. E **101**, 062303 – Published 2 June 2020



Talk outline

Part 1

- Motivation: how are networks useful for social justice analysis?
- ✓ Basic network properties
- ✓ Network **models**
- Beyond networks

Part 2



Beyond networks

Slide from Nicholas Landry



Networks

Nodes and links

Slide from Nicholas Landry



Hypergraphs

Nodes and hyperedges

Slide from Nicholas Landry



What is a hypergraph?

Network

Nodes: {1,2,3,...N}, Edges: pairs of nodes

Hypergraph

Nodes: {1,2,3,...N}, Hyperedges: sets of nodes





Hypergraphs Opinion models



Multiple one-on-one discussions are not equivalent to one group discussion

Hypergraphs Scientific collaborations



equivalent to a single joint publication.

Multiple pairwise joint publications are not

Dynamics on Hypergraphs

Review: Battiston et al., Physics Reports (2020)

Synchronization:

P. S. Skardal, A. Arenas, PRL (2019) A. P. Millán, J.J. Torres, G. Bianconi (2020)

Opinion models:

L. Neuhäuser et al., arXiv 2004.00901 A. Hickok et al., SIAM JAPD, (2021)

Contagion models

lacopini, G. Petri, A. Barrat, V. Latora, Nature Communications (2019) B. Jhun, M. Jo, B. Kahng, Journal of Statistical Mechanics (2019). G. F. de Arruda, G. Petri, Y. Moreno, PRR (2020).



Part II

Examples of simple spreading processes on networks Demonstrations of network creation and manipulation in matlab and python Example of diversity/productivity modeling



