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The problem

We are interested in devising, as systematically as possible, fully discrete numerical schemes for hyperbolic problems which are high-order accurate and preserve, not only the energy of the system, but as many as possible other physical quantities of interest when long-term simulations are sought.

We are developing an approach to achieve this for hyperbolic problems with Hamiltonian structure. Here, we consider the case of linear, wave-propagation problems.
### Three model wave equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>acoustic</th>
<th>elastic</th>
<th>electromagnetic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\ddot{u} = \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u) + f$</td>
<td>$\rho \ddot{u} = \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{C} \varepsilon(u)) + f$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon \ddot{E} = -\nabla \times \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times E$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa^{-1} \dot{q} &= \nabla \nu \\
\dot{\nu} &= \nabla \cdot q + f \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}^{-1} \dot{\sigma} &= \varepsilon(v) \\
\rho \dot{\nu} &= \nabla \cdot \sigma + f \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon \dot{E} &= \nabla \times H \\
\mu \dot{H} &= -\nabla \times E \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} &= \nu \\
\varepsilon \dot{\nu} &= \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u) + f \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} &= \nu \\
\rho \dot{\nu} &= \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{C} \varepsilon(u)) + f \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\nu} &= \nabla \cdot \sigma + f \\
\varepsilon \dot{V} &= -\nabla \times \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times E \\
\end{align*}
\]
Linear Symmetric hyperbolic or Hamiltonian systems?

- A DG discretization of each of the three wave equations, written as hyperbolic, symmetric systems, typically produces a dissipative scheme.

- A new DG discretization of each of the three wave equations, written as Hamiltonian systems, produces a non-dissipative scheme which can also provide non-drifting approximations to invariant functionals.
A numerical illustration
A Dissipative DG method for the acoustic wave equation
A numerical illustration

An S-H DG method for the acoustic wave equation
A numerical illustration

The total energy for the acoustic wave equation

- $E_H$-dissipative
- $E_H$-conservative
- $\tilde{E}_H$-conservative
The main idea and its two ingredients

To devise such schemes, the idea is to first discretize the Hamiltonian system in space so that the resulting system of ODEs is also Hamiltonian.

Then, an application of a symplectic time-marching scheme guarantees the non-drifting property of the Hamiltonian (and other first integrals of the system).
The first ingredient: Symplectic methods

The simplest Hamiltonian system

The simplest Hamiltonian system is the following:

\[\begin{align*}
\dot{p} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial q} H(p, q), \\
\dot{q} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p} H(p, q),
\end{align*}\]

and \(H(p, q)\) is called the Hamiltonian.

On the orbits of the system, \(t \mapsto (p(t), q(t))\), the Hamiltonian remains constant because

\[
\frac{d}{dt} H(p(t), q(t)) = \dot{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} H + \dot{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} H = 0.
\]

Let us find what happens when this system is discretized by a symplectic method.
We discretize our Hamiltonian system by the symplectic Euler method:

\[
p_{n+1} = p_n - \Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial q} H (p_{n+1}, q_n), \quad q_{n+1} = q_n + \Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial p} H (p_{n+1}, q_n),
\]

That the method is symplectic means that we have

\[
dp_{n+1} \wedge dq_{n+1} = dp_n \wedge dq_n,
\]

and this implies that there is a discrete Hamiltonian \( H_{\Delta t} \), close to \( H \), such that

\[
H_{\Delta t} (p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) = H_{\Delta t} (p_n, q_n).
\]
The first ingredient: Symplectic methods

The Harmonic oscillator

For the harmonic oscillator, \( H(p, q) = \frac{q^2}{2} + \frac{p^2}{2} \), we can easily find that

\[
H_{\Delta t}(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) = H_{\Delta t}(p_n, q_n)
\]

where

\[
H_{\Delta t}(p, q) = H(p, q) - \Delta t p q.
\]

This shows that all the discrete orbits of the Symplectic Euler method stay on the ellipse \( H_{\Delta t}(p, q) = H(p_0, q_0) - \Delta t p_0 q_0 \), and that the approximate energy does not drift from the exact one for all time.
The first ingredient: Symplectic methods

References for symplectic methods

- There is a vast literature on symplectic methods: see the 92 review by J.M. Sanz-Serna in Acta Numerica.

- Of particular interest to us are the symplectic Runge-Kutta methods characterized in 94 by P.B. Bochev and C. Scovel in BIT.

- For separable Hamiltonians, the Explicit Partitioned Runge-Kutta methods can be very efficiently implemented and are symplectic, see the 93 paper by L. Abia and J.M. Sanz-Serna in Math. Comp.
The second ingredient: The Hamiltonian machinery

The initial-boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} &= \nu \\
\rho \dot{\nu} &= \nabla \cdot (C \varepsilon(u)) + f \\
u &= u_D \\
C \varepsilon(u)n &= \sigma_N
\end{align*}
\]

in \(\Omega \times (0, T]\),
in \(\Omega \times (0, T]\),
on \(\Gamma_D \times (0, T]\),
on \(\Gamma_N \times (0, T]\).
The mappings \( t \mapsto (\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}(t)) \) are orbits on a smooth manifold \( \mathcal{M} \) defining a dynamical system which is Hamiltonian if we can rewrite it as

\[
\dot{C} = \{C, H\},
\]

for the coordinates functionals \( C \), defined on the phase space \( \mathcal{M} \) and identified with a space of test functions \( \mathcal{T} \). Here \( H \) is the Hamiltonian and \( \{\cdot, \cdot\} \) is the Poisson bracket. The triple \((\mathcal{M}, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, H)\) is called a Hamiltonian dynamical system.
The second ingredient: The Hamiltonian machinery

The Hamiltonian formulation

The Hamiltonian is

\[
H(u(t), v(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left( \rho \mathbf{v}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t) + C \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(t)) : \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(t)) \right) \\
- \int_\Omega \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}(t) - \int_{\Gamma_N} \mathbf{\sigma}_N \cdot \mathbf{u}(t).
\]

The Poisson bracket is

\[
\{ F, G \} = \int_\Omega \rho^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} \cdot \frac{\delta G}{\delta v} - \frac{\delta F}{\delta v} \cdot \frac{\delta G}{\delta u} \right),
\]

for \( F = F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \) and \( G = G(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \) functionals on \( \mathcal{M} \), where \( \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} \) and \( \frac{\delta F}{\delta v} \) denote the functional derivatives of the functional \( F \).
The second ingredient: The Hamiltonian machinery

The Hamiltonian formulation

The phase manifold is

\[ \mathcal{M} = \{ \omega \in L^2(\Omega)^d : \nabla \cdot (C \varepsilon(\omega)) \in L^2(\Omega)^d, \ \omega = u_D \text{ on } \Gamma_D \} \times L^2(\Omega)^d, \]

The coordinates functionals are

\[ C_u(\phi) = \int_{\Omega} \rho \ u \cdot \phi, \quad C_v(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} \rho \ v \cdot \psi, \]

for \((\phi, \psi)\) in the space of test functions

\[ \mathcal{I} = C^\infty(\Omega)^d \times \{ \eta \in C^\infty(\Omega)^d : \eta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D \}. \]
The second ingredient: The Hamiltonian machinery

The Hamiltonian formulation

Taking $C := C_u(\phi)$ and $C := C_v(\psi)$, we get

$$\int_\Omega \rho \dot{u} \cdot \phi = \dot{C}_u(\phi) = \{ C_u(\phi), H \} = \int_\Omega \rho^{-1} \frac{\delta C_u(\phi)}{\delta u} \cdot \frac{\delta H}{\delta v} = \int_\Omega \rho \, v \cdot \phi,$$

$$\int_\Omega \rho \dot{v} \cdot \psi = \dot{C}_v(\psi) = \{ C_v(\psi), H \} = -\int_\Omega \rho^{-1} \frac{\delta C_v(\psi)}{\delta v} \cdot \frac{\delta H}{\delta u}$$

$$= -\int_\Omega \mathbf{C} \varepsilon(u) : \varepsilon(\psi) + \int_\Omega f \cdot \psi + \int_{\Gamma_N} \mathbf{\sigma}_N \cdot \psi,$$

for all $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{J}$. This means that the equations of linear elastodynamics define a Hamiltonian dynamical system.
The second ingredient: The Hamiltonian machinery

Conservation Laws

- Take \( J := \eta \cdot \int_\Omega \rho v \). Then, since \( \Gamma_D = \emptyset \), we have that \( J = C(\Omega) \times C(\Omega) \) and we can write that \( J = C_v(\psi) \) where \( \psi = \eta \). As a consequence, \( \{ J, H \} = 0 \). This proves the conservation of the linear momentum in time.

- Take \( J := \eta \cdot \int_\Omega x \times \rho v \). Then, by a similar argument, we see that \( J = C_v(\psi) \) where \( \psi = \eta \times x \). As a consequence, \( \{ J, H \} = 0 \). This proves the conservation of angular momentum.

- For \( J := E \), the conservation in time follows from the fact that, when \( f \) and \( \sigma_N \) are zero, \( E = H \) and from the fact that \( \{ H, H \} = 0 \), by the antisymmetry of the Poisson bracket.
The second ingredient: The Hamiltonian machinery

The space discretization

We now discretize the equations of elastodynamics by using weak formulations of the original equations with mixed, DG or HDG methods. The resulting mappings $t \mapsto (u_h(t), v_h(t))$ are now orbits on a smooth manifold $\mathcal{M}_h$ defining a dynamical system which can be shown to be Hamiltonian. This means we can rewrite the equations defining the method as

$$\dot{C}_h = \{C_h, H_h\}_h,$$

for some discrete coordinates functionals $C_h$, defined on the finite-dimensional phase space $\mathcal{M}_h$ and identified with a space of test functions in the finite-dimensional space $\mathcal{T}_h$. Here $H_h$ is the discrete Hamiltonian and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_h$ is the discrete Poisson bracket. The triple $(\mathcal{M}_h, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_h, H_h)$ is then a Hamiltonian dynamical system.
Combining the two ingredients: Elastic waves
The fully discrete method

By applying a symplectic scheme to the Hamiltonian system of ODEs defined by the space discretization, we obtain a fully discrete scheme. As a consequence, non-drifting approximations to the conserved quantities are immediately obtained.
Combining the two ingredients: Elastic waves
Numerical illustration. P-wave
Combining the two ingredients: Elastic waves

Numerical illustration. S-wave
Combining the two ingredients: Elastic waves

Numerical illustration. P-wave
Combining the two ingredients: Elastic waves

Numerical illustration. S-wave
Previous and related work

- The idea of using Symplectic-Hamiltonian methods with finite-difference and finite volume methods is very old. Yee’s 1966 famous scheme for electromagnetism uses the Symplectic Euler method which is fully explicit for Maxwell equations.

- The interest in using finite element methods for S-H methods is more recent: first in 2008 by Y. Xu et al. (DG), then in 2015 by Kirby and Kieu (mixed method).


Ongoing work

- Electromagnetism, also with Shukai Du, U. of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Large deformations.
- Nonlinear water waves.